



# SANTA ANA COLLEGE

## Participatory Governance Committee

### Year End Report 2011-2012

**COMMITTEE – PLANNING AND BUDGET**  
**CO-CHAIRS – PAUL FOSTER and JEFF MCMILLAN**

**DATE: May 15, 2012**

### MEMBERSHIP

Paul Foster	Judy Arroyo	Elliot Jones	Linda Rose
Jeff McMillan	Steve Bautista	Sara Lundquist	George Wright
Tom Andrews	Matt Beyersdorf	Michael Kelcher	John Zarske
Fernando Antunez	Ray Hicks	Monica Porter	

Goals	Completely met	Partially met (Please explain)	Not met/ should be carried over (Please explain)	Not met/ should not be carried over (Please explain)
<b>2011/2012 Goals</b>				
1. Divisions and Departments should explore options, prepare recommendations, and/or develop mechanisms for potential budget reductions in the event that Santa Ana College is further impacted by the state budget crisis.	17%	83%		
2. Integrate SAC planning into District budgeting.		50%	67%	
3. Validate campus priorities to ensure budget requests are consistent with core campus mission.	17%	17%	67% Not involved in making budget requests	
4. Provide recommendations to the district Budget Allocation and Planning Review Work Group for their annual review and analysis of the Budget Allocation Model.	33%	50%	17%	
5. Prepare to successfully transition to the new SB 361 revenue allocation model. This includes providing adequate staff to effectively manage the budget locally.	17%	50%	33%	17%
6. Explore ideas for making the committee more proactive rather than reactive.	17%	50%	33%	

### **Recommended goals for next year:**

1. Have BAPR minutes & agendas forwarded to our committee to review prior to BAPR meetings.
2. If we are going to engage in true planning and budgeting, I think there needs to be a stronger connection between the budget and planning committee and the other major committees on campus (IE&A, Student Success, etc) and even the deans council (both academic and student services).
3. Continue to provide recommendations to the district Budget Allocation and Planning Review Work Group for their annual review and analysis of the Budget Allocation Model.
4. Further identify responsibilities of the committee as the college transitions into the new Budget Allocation Model.
5. Committee will reaffirm/develop priorities should further reductions be required.
6. Prepare recommendations on how SAC can accomplish more of its core goals in times of stagnant or shrinking budgets.
7. Continue to work on the transition to the new budget model

### **What outcomes and/or body of work have been generated by this committee?**

1. We rock! Working to get items discussed of importance to faculty and classified who are not included w/ President cabinet so at least there is some input even if indirect at that level.
2. Provided recommendations to college council on the adoption of a new district Budget Allocation Model. Provided recommendations to college council for the use of the district ending balance.
3. The committee is gaining valuable insight into the budget and budgeting process. From this work the committee has made specific recommendations regarding those arms of the budget that will need further scrutiny in the future. The committee has also been a strong advocate for making sure that the budget properly reflects the core goals of the college as listed in the SAC mission statement

*\*Percentages were based on responses received.*

*\*Report sent to Bonnie Jaros (6/1/2012) for forwarding to IE&A*