RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

DISTRICT OFFICE - BUSINESS OPERATIONS/FISCAL SERVICES

То:	Board of Trustees	Date: June 17, 2013
Re:	Approval of Five Year Construction Plan (2015-2019); I (IPP's) for the following projects: Santa Ana College – L Technology Complex, Santiago Canyon College - Stude the Final Project Proposal (FPP) for the Fine and Perform Replacement at Santa Ana College	ibrary (LRC), Vocational ent Services Building and
Action:	Request for Approval	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

BACKGROUND

In compliance with the California Community College Chancellor's Office Facilities Planning Manual, community college districts are required to submit on July 1st of each year all major capital projects (in excess of \$400,000) that they intend to construct over the next five (5) year period. All potential projects are to be included, regardless of funding source (i.e. state funding, special grants, district resources, local bonds, etc.).

Each year the District has an opportunity to update its Five Year Construction Plan.

ANALYSIS

The District is resubmitting projects for State funding in its Five Year Construction Plan. A detailed list is attached showing the project location, estimated date of completion (occupancy) and potential source of funding.

For state funding consideration in 2016-17, the District is submitting Initial Project Proposals (IPP's) for the following projects: Santa Ana College: Library (LRC), Vocational Technology Complex and the Student Services Building at Santiago Canyon College. A Final Project Proposal (FPP) for 2015-16 is being submitted for the Fine and Performing Arts Complex Replacement at Santa Ana College.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board of Trustees approve the Five Year Construction Plan (2015-2019); Initial Project Proposals (IPP's) for the following projects: Santa Ana College – Library (LRC), Vocational Technology Complex, Santiago Canyon College - Student Services Building and the Final Project Proposal (FPP) for the Fine and Performing Arts Complex Replacement at Santa Ana College as presented

Fiscal Impact:	Varies with each project	Board Date: June 17, 20013
Prepared by:	Peter J. Hardash, Vice Chancellor, Busine	ss Operations/Fiscal Services
Submitted by:	Peter J. Hardash, Vice Chancellor, Busine	ess Operations/Fiscal Services
Recommended by:	Raúl Rodríguez, Ph.D., Chancellor	5.4 (1)

Five Year Construction Plan District Projects Priority Order

Rancho Santiago CCD

Page 9

No.	Proje		Occupancy			··· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sr	chedule of Fund	ds .		
140.		ASF	Total Cost	Source	2013/2014	2014/2015	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	2019/2020
1		ANITIES	BUILDING 2012/2013 \$33,000,000	NonState	Santiago Cany						
2	TESS	man Pl	ANETARIUM RE 2013/2014 \$2,000,000	ENOVATIO NonState	Santa Ana Coll (C)(E) \$1,750,000	lege					
3	OEC	RENOVA	ATION 2014/2015 \$13,000,000	NonState	Orange Educa (W)(C) \$12,750,000	tion Center					
4	MOC	ison Ci	ENTER RENOVA 2015/2016 \$12,000,000	ATION NonState	Santa Ana Col (C)(P)(W) \$11,300,000	lege (E) \$700,000					
5	DUN	LAP HAL	LL RENOVATION 2015/2016 \$10,000,000		Santa Ana Col (W) \$485,000	lege (C) \$9,060,000					
6	CEN ⁻	TRAL PL	ANT AND INFR 2016/2017 \$35,000,000	ASTRUCTU NonState	Santa Ana Col (P)(W) \$2,668,000	lege (C) \$32,332,000					
^ 7	SCIE 40,5		JILDING 2017/2018 \$49,169,000	NonState	Santa Ana Co (P)(W) \$3,498,000	llege	(C)(E) \$45,671,000				
8	CEN	TRAL PL	ANT AND INFR 2017/2018 \$10,000,000	NonState	Santiago Cany	yon College (P)(W) \$913,000	(C) \$9,087,000				
∖ 9	Fine -672		rforming Arts C 2018/2019 \$22,089,000 \$23,430,000	enter State NonState	Santiago Can	yon College (P)(W) \$1,598,000 \$1,730,000	(C)(E) \$20,491,000 \$21,700,000				
√ 10	RUS -10,		ALL REPLACEMI 2018/2019 \$19,792,000 \$19,301,000	State	Santa Ana Co	(P)(W) \$1,606,000 \$1,409,000	(C)(E) \$18,186,000 \$17,892,000				
11	1,09		PERFORMING A 2018/2019 \$13,333,000 \$13,332,000	State	Santa Ana Co	ollege	(P)(W) \$1,078,000 \$1,078,000	(C)(E) \$12,255,000 \$12,254,000			
12	2 STL 13,0		SERVICES BUILI 2019/2020 \$15,245,000 \$3,810,000	State	Santiago Can	yon College		(P)(W) \$985,000 \$800,000	(C)(E) \$14,260,000 \$3,010,000		
13	3 VO(2,4)		AL TECHNOLOG 2019/2020 \$18,754,000 \$18,754,000	State	Santa Ana Co	ollege		(P)(W) \$1,499,000 \$1,400,000	(C)(E) \$17,255,000 \$17,354,000		

Calif. Comm. Colleges

Five Year Construction Plan District Projects Priority Order

Page 10

5/29/2013

Rancho Santiago CCD

No.	Project	Occupancy				Sc	hedule of Fun			
1,101	ASF	Total Cost	Source	2013/2014	2014/2015	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	2019/2020
14	LIBRARY/L	.RC		Santa Ana Coll	ege					
	25,916	2019/2020					(P)(W)	(C)(E)		
		\$40,062,000	State				\$1,830,000	\$38,232,000		
		\$10,015,000	NonState				\$1,800,000	\$8,215,000		
15	PARKING	STRUCTURE		Santa Ana Coll	ege					
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2019/2020						(P)(W)	(C)	
		\$20,841,975	NonState					\$1,784,475	\$19,057,500	
16	PHYSICAL	EDUCATION-SEI	SMIC REPL.	5anta Ana Coll	ege					
		2006/2007								
		\$5,460,000	State							
		\$10,130,000	NonState							
17	Science B	uilding		Santiago Cany	on College					
		2009/2010								
		\$12,612,000	State							
		\$7,625,000	NonState							

District:

Rancho Santiago Community College District

College / Center: Project Name: Santa Ana Coilege LIBRARY/LRC

Project Type:

New Construction

Project Funding

 State
 Non-state

 Land Acquisition:
 \$0
 \$0

 Prelim. Plans:
 \$907,000
 \$900,000

 Working Draw.:
 \$923,000
 \$900,000

Budget Year: 2016 Const. Cost Index: 5754 5 yr. Plan Priority: 14 Net ASF: 25,916

Construction: Equipment: \$923,000 \$900,000 \$36,513,000 \$7,215,000 \$1,719,000 \$1,000,000 \$40,062,000 \$10,015,000

Net ASF: 25,916 Total GSF: 94,000

Total Cost:

\$50,077,000

Project Description:

The current Library at Santa Ana College is too small which causes constant overcrowding and long waits for students doing research in the electronic computer area. Although there was a minor renovation in 1994, the facility has never had major modifications, so mechanical and electrical systems have outlived their usefulness. The current facility was constructed before the computer was invented, which has caused major issues to get data and electrical infrastructure installed throughout the building. In addition, this added heat load has overtaxed the HVAC system. This project will demolish the existing 57 year old undersized facility and construct a real "LRC" with combined library and tutorial services in a central location to improve student's access to support services which will lead to increased student success.

Describe how this project supports the district's educational and facility Master Plan and Five-Year Construction Plan:

The 2011 Facilities Master Plan supports teaching and educational goals along with standards of the District. The Facilities Master Plan lists replacement of the oldest facilities on campus as a priority. The existing Library Building meets this criteria.

Provide the CEQA Status of the project. Check all that apply.

dis deletione et al alle artiques sons en réalism equipologique per proposition rappe en manifestation de l'app	Project Under Review	Hearing Underway	Approved District/Filed Clearinghouse	Not Required
Notice of Exemption				\
Initlal Study				•
Negative Declaration	•		State Control of the	
Draft EIR		Control of the Contro		~
Final EIR	To service the service that the service			V

			lualifying information: itions. Unanswered question	ns will be considered not ap	plicable		
Yes	No	N/A	,		- 00		
0	\odot	0	Life Safety Project - Required Supporting report is attached to establish imminent danger				
•	0	0	Project Design - Constud	tion and equipment design (conform with State design a	nd cost guidelines	
\circ	•	0	Infrastructure				
			Check type of project:	New Construction	Reconstruction	Replacement	
0	•	0	- Loss or fallure of infrastr				
0	•	0	Master Planning or Proje general fund	ect Planning - District's gen	eral fund's ending balance l	s less than 5% of the total	
0	0	0	Instructional Space				
			Check type of space:	✓ New Construction	Replacement	Alteration	
			Check major ASF:	Ciassroom	Teaching Lab	Lib/Leaming Center	
			Office	☐ AVTV	Other		
•	0	0	- This project will not caus	e total ASF in any category	to exceed 110% of capacity	y/load ratio.	
0	•	0	Academic Support, Stud	lent Services or Adminstr	ative Space		
			Check type of space:	New Construction	Replacement	Alteration	
			Check major ASF:	Classroom	Teaching Lab	Lib/Leaming Center	
			Office	AVTV	Other		
0	•	0	Other Facility Projects				
			Check type of space:	New Construction	Replacement	Alteration	
			Check primary ASF of rec	quest space:	Physical Educ.	Performing Arts	
			Child Develop.	Maintenance	Warehouse	Cafeteria	
			Other facilities (to co	mplete a balance campus)			
•	0	\circ	- There is an existing faci	lity building in use for this pa	roposed project.		
			Supplemental Informat	on and Alternatives Explo	red		
	0	\circ	- There is an existing fac	lity in use for this proposed	project.		
\odot	\circ	0	- Cost to reconstruct exis	ting building is more than 50	0% of cost of a new building	.	
\odot	\circ	0	- Usage in the new buildi	ng will be the same as usag	e in the building replaced.		
\odot	\bigcirc	\circ	- Replaced building will b	e demolished and costs are	include in the project.		
•		\circ	- Alternative instructional	delivery system, distance le	earning, other such means.		
•	\bigcirc	\circ	- District or private fundlr	ng sources			
•	0	0	- Other: The districts intends	to pay for 20% of the State	supportable costs.		
			- Total construction perio	od in number of Months: 24			

Yes	No	N/A			
			Additional Forms/Page	s enclosed:	
\odot			- District Five-Year Cons	truction Plan or project	related pages of said document
0	•	0	- Critical Life-safety third	party justification	
\circ	•	0	- Engineering test or other	er related documents	
\odot	0	0	- JCAF 32 Cost Estimate	Summary and Anticipa	ated Time Schedule
•	0	0	- Other FPP related form	s: JCAF 31, JCAF 33 ar	nd Project Summary Form
Distric	t Contac	ot: [Peter Hardash	Phone No. :	714 480 7340
Date:		5	5/24/2013	FAX No.:	714 480 3950
Prepa	red by:	E	Eric Mittlestead	E-mail Address:	FPACS2004@aol.com
The di	strict ap	proves	and verifies that this prop	osal presents the basic	scope and cost of the project.
Approv	ved by:				
	,	Name /	Title	Signature	e / Date

Rancho Santiago Community College District District:

College / Center: Santa Ana College

VOCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY COMPLEX Project Name:

New Construction Project Type:

Project Funding

Non-state State Budget Year: 2016 \$0 \$0 Land Acquisition: Const. Cost Index: 5754 \$700,000 Prelim. Plans: \$760,000 5 yr. Pian Priority: 13 \$700,000 Working Draw.: \$739,000 \$17,354,000 \$16,674,000 Construction: \$581,000 \$0 Equipment: \$18,754,000 \$18,754,000

Total Cost: \$37,508,000

The current diesel technology, automotive technology, manufacturing, industrial technology, **Project Description:**

engineering and construction crafts programs are housed in 1950's era facilities with inadequate space and are spread throughout the campus. This prevents interdisciplinary collaboration and natural synergles that occur within these areas. In addition, outdated building systems and aged Infrastructure do not support the current program needs. This project will consolidate and expand the vocational programs and provide state of the art facilities for students to learn in. As part of this project the Auto Diesel (Bidg 18), Diesel (Bidg 19), Technical Building (Bidg 24) and Hammond Hall

Net ASF: 2,422

Total GSF: 78,000

(Bldg 9) will be demolished.

Describe how this project supports the district's educational and facility Master Plan and Five-Year Construction Plan:

The 2011 Facilities Master Plan supports teaching and educational goals along with standards of the District. The Facilities Master Plan lists replacement of the oldest facilities on campus as a priority. The Auto Diesel Building, Diesei Building, Technical Building and Hammond Hall meet this criteria.

Provide the CEQA Status of the project. Check all that apply.

***************************************	Project Under Review	Hearing Underway	Approved District/Filed Clearinghouse	Not Required
Notice of Exemption				•
Initial Study				•
Negative Declaration	•		-	
Draft EIR				~
Final EiR				V

			lualifying information: stions. Unanswered question	ns will be considered not ap	plicable	
0	•	0	Life Safety Project - Rec	ulred Supporting report is at	tached to establish imminer	t danger
(1)	0	0	Project Design - Constu	ction and equipment design (conform with State design a	nd cost guidelines
0	•	0	Infrastructure			
			Check type of project:	New Construction	Reconstruction	Replacement
0	•	0	- Loss or failure of infrasti	ructure is imminent.		
\bigcirc	•	0	Master Pianning or Proj general fund	ect Pianning - District's gen	ieral fund's ending balance i	s less than 5% of the total
•		0	Instructional Space			
			Check type of space:	✓ New Construction	✓ Replacement	Alteration
			Check major ASF:	Classroom	✓ Teaching Lab	Lib/Learning Center
			Office	AVTV	Other	
•	0	0	- This project will not cau	se total ASF in any category	to exceed 110% of capacity	/load ratio.
0	•	0	Academic Support, Stu	dent Services or Adminstr	ative Space	
			Check type of space:	New Construction	Replacement	Alteration
			Check major ASF:	Classroom	Teaching Lab	Lib/Learning Center
			Office	AVTV	Other	
\bigcirc	•	\circ	Other Facility Projects			
			Check type of space:	New Construction	Replacement	Alteration
			Check primary ASF of re	quest space:	Physical Educ.	Performing Arts
			Child Develop.	Maintenance	Warehouse	Cafeteria
			Other facilities (to co	mplete a balance campus)		
•	\circ	\circ	- There is an existing fac	liity building in use for this p	roposed project.	
	_			lon and Alternatives Expid		
•	0	0	_	dilty in use for this proposed		
•	0	0		sting building is more than 5		•
•	0	0		ing will be the same as usag		
•	0	0		be demolished and costs are		
•	0	0	- Altemative instructiona	I delivery system, distance le	earning, other such means.	
\odot	0	0		ng sources		
\odot	0	0	- Other: The District Intends	to pay for 50% of the State s	supportable costs for this pro	ject.
			- Total construction peri	od in number of Months: 24		

Yes	No	N/A				
			Additional Forms/Pag	es enclosed:		
•			- District Flve-Year Con	struction Plan or project	related pages of said document	
0	•	0	- Criticai Life-safety thire	d party justification		
0		\circ	- Engineering test or other	ner related documents		
\circ	0	\circ	- JCAF 32 Cost Estima	le Summary and Anticipa	ated Time Schedule	
•	0	0	- Other FPP related for	ms: JCAF 31, JCAF 33 ar	nd Project Summary Form	
Distric	t Contac	at: [Peter Hardash	Phone No.:	714 - 480 - 7340	
Date:		5	5/24/2013	FAX No.:	714 480 3950	
Prepai	red by:	E	Eric Mittlestead	E-mali Address:	FPACS2004@aoi.com	
The district approves and verifies that this proposal presents the basic scope and cost of the project.						
Approv	ved by:					
		Name /	/ Title	Signature	e / Date	

District: Rancho Santlago Community College District

College / Center: Santlago Canyon College

Project Name: STUDENT SERVICES BUILDING

Project Type: New Construction

Project Funding

State Non-state Budget Year: 2016 Land Acquisition: \$0 \$0 \$518,000 \$500,000 Const. Cost Index: 5754 Prelim, Plans: \$300,000 5 yr. Plan Priority: 12 \$467,000 Working Draw.: Net ASF: 13,036 \$13,696,000 \$3,010,000 Construction: Total GSF: 43,500 **S**0 Equipment: \$564,000 \$15,245,000 \$3,810,000

Total Cost: \$19,055,000

Project Description:

Santiago Canyon College's student services programs are scattered throughout campus making it difficult for students to cohesively access the programs to ensure student success and accountability. This Category E project constructs a new 43,500 gross square feet (gsf) building for the consolidation of the college's student services programs. The new 27,436 assignable square feet (asf) facility plans for 8,742 asf office,11,498 asf library, and 7,196 asf other (including meeting rooms, student wellness center, assembly, storage, and support) spaces. The proposed building's associated site development and utilities are also a part of the project scope. The secondary effects include vacating Building E, Admissions/Cashler, 1,499 (asf) office; Financial Ald, 1,260 (asf), office; DSPS, 742 (asf), office and 543 (asf) Clinic St Care; Testing Center, 1,036 (asf), classroom; EOPS/CARE/CalWorks, 887 (asf) office and 160 (asf) Clinic St Care and 128 (asf) Public waiting. Building D, Student Placement, 1,380 (asf) office; Counselling/ Career Services, 1,992 (asf), office; Career Transfer/ Adult re-entry, 1,080(asf) office; Building T, Health Center, 192 (asf) office; and 900 (asf) Treatment; and Building A, CAMP, 417 (asf) office and 860 (asf) shops; Outreach/Americorps, 1,324 (asf) office, The project's secondary effects will be funded by district funds and are not included in this project's cost estimate.

Describe how this project supports the district's educational and facility Master Plan and Five-Year Construction Plan:

This project supports Santiago Canyon College's 2010 Educational and Facilities Master Plan which recommends the replacement of these aging facilities in order to address the infrastructure and code deficiencies and support the instructional programs that are housed there.

Provide the CEQA Status of the project. Check all that apply.

LIDAING HIS GEALL GIME	as of the brojeca emerican			
	Project Under Review	Hearing Underway	Approved District/Filed Ciearinghouse	Not Required
Notice of Exemption				•
initial Study			(C = 400 P)	
Negative Declaration			n _{mandad}	
Draft EIR		Contraction of the Contraction o		•
Final EIR		(managara)		7

•	answer	all ques	Qualifying Information: slions. Unanswered question	ns will be considered not ap	plicable		
Yes	No	N/A		utud Suuradaa saad ta ah	to the datable by the second	ot dogger	
0	③	0	<u>Life Safety Project</u> - Required Supporting report is attached to establish imminent danger <u>Project Design</u> - Construction and equipment design conform with State design and cost guidelines				
•	0	0	Project Design - Constu	ction and equipment design (conform with State design a	ina cost guidelines	
0	•	0	Infrastructure			_	
			Check type of project:	New Construction	Reconstruction	Replacement	
\circ	•	0	- Loss or fallure of infrastr			*. * Al PDC - GAL- A-A-I	
0	•	0	Master Planning or Proj general fund	ect Planning - District's gen	eral fund's ending balance	is less than 5% of the total	
0	\odot	0	Instructional Space				
			Check type of space:	New Construction	Replacement	Alteration	
			Check major ASF:	Classroom	Teaching Lab	Llb/Learning Center	
			Office	☐ AVTV	Other		
\odot	\circ	\circ	- This project will not cau	se total ASF in any category	to exceed 110% of capacit	y/load ratio.	
\odot	\circ	0	Academic Support, Stu	dent Services or Adminstr	ative Space		
			Check type of space:	✓ New Construction	Replacement	Alteration	
			Check major ASF:	Classroom	Teaching Lab	Lib/Leaming Center	
			✓ Office	AVTV	Other		
0	•	0	Other Facility Projects				
			Check type of space:	New Construction	Replacement	Alteration	
			Check primary ASF of re	quest space:	Physical Educ.	Performing Arts	
			Child Develop.	Maintenance	Warehouse	Cafeteria	
			Other facilities (to co	omplete a balance campus)			
•	0	\circ	- There is an existing fac	cility building in use for this p	roposed project.		
			Supplemental informat	tion and Alternatives Expid	pred		
(1)	\bigcirc	0	- There is an existing fac	cillty in use for this proposed	project.		
(0)	\bigcirc	\circ	- Cost to reconstruct exi	sting building is more than 5	0% of cost of a new building	g.	
(0)	\circ	0	- Usage in the new build	ling will be the same as usag	ge in the building replaced.		
•	\circ	0	- Replaced building will	be demolished and costs are	e include in the project.		
\odot	\bigcirc	0	- Alternative Instructiona	il delivery system, distance l	earning, other such means.		
•	\circ		- District or private fundi	ng sources			
0	\circ	•	- Other:				
			- Total construction peri	od in number of Months: 24			

Yes	No	N/A			
			Additional Forms/Pag	es enclosed:	
(a)			- District Five-Year Con	struction Plan or project	related pages of said document
O	•	\circ	- Critical Life-safety thin	d party justification	
0		0	- Engineering test or otl	her related documents	
•	\circ	0	- JCAF 32 Cost Estima	te Summary and Anticipa	aled Time Schedule
•	\circ	0	- Other FPP related for	ms: JCAF 31, JCAF 33 a	nd Project Summary Form
District	Contac	:t: [Peter Hardash	Phone No.:	714 480 7340
Date:		5	5/24/2013	FAX No.:	714 - 480 - 3950
Prepar	ed by:	E	Eric Mittlestead	E-mail Address:	FPACS2004@aol.com
The di	strict ap	proves	and verifies that this pro	posal presents the basic	scope and cost of the project.
Approv	ed by:				
		Name	/ Title	Signature	e / Date

Final Project Proposal

2015-2016

Community College Construction Act of 1980 Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal

Fine and P	erform	ing Ar	ts Con	aplex I	Replac	ement			
Proposal N	ame								
Rancho Sa	ntiago	Comn	unity	Colleg	e Distr	ict			
Community	y Colleg	ge Dist	rict						
Santa Ana	College	e							<u> </u>
College or	Center								
July 1, 201	13								
Date									
A	P	x	W	x	С	x	E	x	

2.1 Final Project Proposal Checklist

District:	Rancho Santiago Community College District		
College:	Santa Ana College		
Project:	Fine and Performing Arts Complex Replacement		
Prepared by	: HMC Architects/FPACS	Date:	July 1, 2013
Section	Description	Status	Date
1.1	Title Page	Complete	5/19/2013
2.1	Final Project Proposal Checklist	Complete	5/19/2013
3.1	Approval Page - Final Project Proposal (with original signatures)	Complete	5/19/2013
3.2	Project Terms and Conditions	Complete	5/19/2013
4.1	Analysis of Building Space Use and WSCH - JCAF 31	Complete	5/20/2013
5.1	Cost Estimate Summary - JCAF 32	Complete	5/21/2013
5.2	Quantities and Unit Costs supporting the JCAF 32	Complete	5/21/2013
	(Insert the optional cost analyses into this section.)		
6.1	California Energy Commission Approved Audit	Complete	5/19/2013
7.1	Responses to Specific Requirements - State Administrative Manual		-
		Complete	5/27/2013
	(Also provide this section electronically in Word 6. Version)	Complete	5/27/2013
8.1	California Environmental Quality Act: Environmental Impact Report		
	or Exemption Notice	Complete	5/19/2013
9.1	Analysis of Future Costs	Complete	5/19/2013
10.1	Campus Plot Plan	Complete	5/23/2013
10.2	Diagrams of Building Areas (include floor plans with building areas		
	affected.) (Insert half-sized scaled conceptual drawings into the FPP.)		
		Complete	5/23/2013
10.3	Site Plans	Complete	5/23/2013
10.4	Floor Plans	Complete	5/23/2013
10.5	Exterior Elevations	Complete	5/23/2013
11.1	Guideline-Based Group II Equipment Cost Estimates - JCAF 33	Complete	5/20/2013
12.1	Justification of Additional Costs exceeding Guidelines (as needed)		
		NA	NA
13.1	Detailed Equipment List	Complete	5/27/2013

3.1 Approval Page

Final Project Proposal

Budget Year:

2015-2016

District:	Rancho Santiago Community College Distri	<u></u>	
Project Location:	Santa Ana College		
	(College, campus, or center)		
Project Name:	Fine and Performing Arts Complex Replace	nent	
The district proposes f	funds for inclusion in the State capital outlay preliminary plans x working drawings		equipment x
	District Certification	n.	
Contact Person:	Peter Hardash	Telephone:	714-480-7340
	cilities, Planning and Development)	• -	
E-Mail Address:	Hardash Peter@rsccd.edu	Fax:	714-796-3950
Approved for submi	esion.	Date:	
Approved for submi	(Chancellor/President/Superintendent Sign		
	District Board of Trustees Color the District approves the submission of the y Colleges and promises to fulfill the succeed	s application to the Board o	
(President of the Board	of Trustees Signature and Date)	(Secretary of the Board of	of Trustees Signature and Date)
Attach a copy of the later and Condition	Board Resolution that substantiates approval on s.	of the application and promi	ses to fulfill the Project
Submit proposal to: Facilities Planning ar Chancellor's Office	nd Utilization	Chancellor's Office Cert	ification
California Communi 1102 Q Street, 4th Fl Sacramento, CA 958	loor	Date Completed:	

3.2 PROJECT TERMS AND CONDITIONS

District:	Rancho Santiago Community College District	College: Santa Ana College
Project:	Fine and Performing Arts Complex Replacement	Budget Year: 2015-2016

- The applicant hereby requests State funds in the amount prescribed by law for the project named herein. All parts and exhibits contained in or referred to in this application are submitted with and made part of this application.
- 2 The applicant hereby assures the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges that:
 - a. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 57001.5 of Title 5 no part of this application includes a request for funding the planning or construction of dormitories, stadia, the improvement of sites for student or staff parking, single purpose auditoriums or student centers other than cafeterias. The facilities included in the proposed project will be used for one or more of the purposes authorized in 57001.5 of Title 5.
 - Any State funds received pursuant to this application shall be used solely for defraying the development costs of the proposed project.
 - If the application is approved, the construction covered by the application shall be undertaken in an economical manner and will not be of elaborate or extravagant design or materials.
 - c. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 81837 of the Education Code, approval of the final plans and specifications for construction will be obtained from the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges <u>before</u> any contract is let for the construction.
 - d. No changes in construction plans or specifications made after approval of final plans which would alter the scope of work, function assignable and/or gross areas, utilities, or safety of the facility will be made without prior approval of the Chancellor's Office of the California Community Colleges and the Department of General Services Division of the State Architect.
 - e. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 57001 of Title 5, an adequate and separate accounting and fiscal records and accounts of <u>all</u> funds received from any source to pay the cost of the proposed construction will be maintained, and audit of such records and accounts will be permitted at any reasonable time, during the project, at the completion of the project, or both.
 - f. Architectural or engineering supervision and inspection will be provided at the construction site to ensure that the work was completed in compliance with the provisions of Section 81130 of the Education Code and that it conforms with the approved plans and specifications.
 - g. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 8 of the Budget Act, no contract will be awarded prior to the allocation of funds to the Board of Governors by the Public Works Board.
- 3 It is understood by the applicant that:
 - No claim against any funds awarded on this application shall be approved which is for work or materials not a part of the project presented in this application as it will be finally allocated by the Public Works Board.
 - b. The failure to abide by each of the assurances made herein entitles the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges to withhold all or some portion of any funds awarded on this application.
 - c. Any fraudulent statement which materially affects any substantial portion of the project presented in this application, as it may be finally approved, entitles the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges to terminate this application or payment of any funds awarded on the project presented in this application.
- 4 It is further understood that:
 - a. The appropriation which may be made for the project presented in this application does not make an absolute grant of that amount to the applicant.
 - b. The appropriation is made only to fund the project presented in this application, as it is finally approved, regardless of whether the actual cost is less than or equals the appropriation.
 - A reduction in the scope of the project or assignable areas shall result in a proportionate reduction in the funds available from the appropriation.

CFIS #: 40.41.XXX

	De Trans	Description	TOP No.	Department	No. Rms No. Sta	No. Sta	Room No.	ASF	WSCH Capacity	Sec. ASF	Increase in Space
	110	Classroom	6600	General Assignment			Bldg 29	3,310	-100	-3,353	43
ם כ	210	Class Lab	0602	Journalism			Bldg 29		-291	729-	-622
	210	Class Lab	1002	Art (Painting, Drawing and Sculpture)			Bkdg 29	7,000	121-	-7,327	-327
	210	Class Lab	1004	Music			Bldg 25	6,255	712	-4,425	1,830
	210	Class Lab	1007	Dramatic Arts			Bldg 14	3,240	478	-2,012	1,228
	210	Class Lab	1008	Applied Design			Bkdg 29		-423	-1,088	-1,088
	210	Class Lab	1030	Graphic Arts and Design			Bldg 29		-52	-134	-134
ם כ		590	6600				Bldgs 14 25 29	2,100		-2,101	T .
			4007				Bidg 14	10,590		-10,120	470
	nta Coo	Assertingly and a second secon	8140	Manuma and Galleries			Bldg 29	1,750		-1,293	457
	920 720	Choo	6530	Custodial Services			Bldg 25			-76	9.2
	og og	Single Walking	1007				Bldg 14			-603	-603
								34,245	196	-33,154	1,091

'Indicates manual override

	三回り コーイ	ART AND	ANIICITA		コクロロロクロロ	D ANTICIPALED LIME SCREDULE - SCAP SE.		~~~	222 14 27
Campus: Santa Ana College (Rancho Santiago CCD)	ge (Rancho San		REPI ACEMENT (Official)	Official)	Date Prepared: 5/21/2013	5/21/2013 O	Original CCI: 5754	CFIS Ket. #: Budget Ref #:	CFIS Ket. #: 40.41.XXX udget Ref #:
Project Itte: FINE AND PER							Original EPI: 3147	Prepared	Prepared by: HMC/FPACS
				Total Cost		State Funded	Dist State-Supportable	District Funded Ron State	unded Non State-Supportable
4 City Acmilolitics			Acres:						
i. Site Acquismon		Budoe	loet CCI: 5754	\$1,3	\$1,316,000	\$658,000	\$658,000		
A A the street East (for oral)	minant plane!	n 1		98	\$610,000				
A. Architectural rees (M. premimiar) prems) R. Device Management (for preliminary plans)	numary pians/ reliminary plans)			\$2	\$218,000				
C. Preliminary Tests (soils, hazardous materials)	zardous materia	(s)		w)	\$38,000	· <u>-</u> .			
D. Other Costs (for preliminary plans)	y plans)			3	\$450,000				
3. Working Drawings		Budge	Jget CCI: 5754	88	\$840,000	\$420,000	\$420,000		
A. Architectural Fees (for working drawings)	ding drawings)			9\$	\$698,000				
B. Project Management (for working drawings)	orking drawings)				ç				
C. Office of the State Architect, Plan Check Fee	t, Plan Check Fe	9		•	9				
D. Community College Plan Check Fee	heck Fee			<i>37</i> €	\$62,000				
E. Other Costs (for working drawings)	awings)		9	,,	000,084				
(Total PW may not exceed 13% or construction)	or construction)		200			000 000	640 000 000		
4. Construction		Budge	Budget CCI: 5754	\$21,7		200,008,018	000,880,010		
A. Utility Service				%	\$432,000				
B. Site Development, Service				3	\$896,000	-			
C. Site Development, General				21.	\$1,373,000				
D. Other Site Development					\$204,000				
E. Reconstruction				6	000				
F. New Construction (building) (w/Group I equip)) (w/Group I equ	(d)		מומוש	000,926,000				
G. Other				8 18	61 090 000	\$545,000	\$545,000		
5. Contingency	41 11 11 11			7	\$436,000	\$218.000	\$218,000		
6. Architectural and Engineering Oversignt	ing Oversignt			i di	\$511,000	\$255,000	\$256,000	_	
/. Jests and inspections				¥	\$218,000				
A. lests			-	Ġ.	\$293,000	<u>. </u>			
Denotement of Management (if institled)	(if inetified)			À	\$470,000	\$235,000	\$235,000	_	
o. Construction Gosts (items 4 through 8 above)	(a jazanos) tems 4 through 6	(apove)		\$24.3		\$12,153,000	\$12.153,000		
10 Furniture and Group II Equipment	ulpment	ı	Budget EPI: 3147	S		\$102,000	\$101,000		
11 Total Deniect Cost (Hems 1 2 3 9 and 10)	2 3 9 and 10			\$26,6	\$26,665,000	\$13,333,000	\$13,332,000		
Outside	Assignable	Ratio	Unit Cost	Unit Cost			⊢-	nded	District Funded
12. Project Data GSF	Square Feet	ASF/GSF	Per ASF	Per GSF	14.	State Funded	Supportable Non Supportable	Supportable	lotai
F	34,245	0.65	\$541	\$352	Acquisition				000
_ _					Preliminary Plans	\$658,000	\$658,000		nnn'ecoe
13 Anticlosted Time Schedule	9				Working Drawings		\$420,000		\$420,000
Ctat Deliminary Diana	8/1/2015	Advertise Bid for	Advertise Bid for Construction	4/1/2017	Construction	\$1;	\$12,153,000		\$12,153,000
Start Working Drawings	12/1/2015	Award Construction Contract	ction Contract	6/1/2017	Equipment	\$102,000	\$101,000		\$101,000
Complete Working Drawings	+-	Advertise Bid for Equipment	or Equipment	6/1/2018	Total Costs	\$13,333,000	\$13,332,000		\$13,332,000
S	+	to class Dunion		R/1/2019	% of SS Total	20.00%	50.00%	SS Total:	\$26,665,000

5.2 - Quantities and Unit Costs Supporting the JCAF 32 State Supportable Costs

District: College:	Rancho Santiago Community College District Santa Ana College	Date: Prepared By:	July 1, 2013 HMC Archite	cts/FPACS
Project:	Fine and Performing Arts Complex Replacement	CCI / ENR: Construction Mont	5754	3147 24

			•	Construction Months:		24	
NOTE: Total fees may not exceed 13%	8.9%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······································		
1 SITE (District owned)							\$0
2 PRELIMINARY PLANS							
A. Architectural Fees (for Preliminary Plans)			8% x	\$21,799,000 x	0.35	\$610,000	
B. Project Management for Preliminary Plans			1.0% x	\$21,799,000		\$218,000	
C. Preliminary Tests (Soils, hazardous materials)					410 000		
1) Soils Tests & Geotechnical Reports					\$19,000		
2) Topographic/Land Survey					\$15,000 \$3,600		
3) Geologic Hazard Report				•	33,000	\$38,000	
						050,000	
D. Other Costs (for Preliminary Plans)							
1) SWPPP Plan					\$40,000		
2) Data/Technology Consultant					\$70,000		
3) Waterproofing Consultant					\$60,000		
4) Constructability Review					\$30,000		
5) CEQA (Environmental Documents)					\$40,000 \$50,000		
6) Acoustical Consultant					\$40,000		
Lighting Consultant DSA Plan Check Fee from below					\$119,955		
of DSA Film Check fee from Delow						\$450,000	
Total- Preliminary Plans							\$1,316,0
3 WORKING DRAWINGS A. Architectural Fees (for Working Drawings)			8% x	\$21,799,000 x	0.40	\$698,000	
A. Architectural rees (for working Drawings)			0/1 X	321,799,000 A	0.40		
B. Project Management (for Working Drawings)			0.0% x	\$21,799,000		\$0	
C. Office of State Architect, Plan Check Fee	0.007	_	\$1,000,000		\$7,000		
(1) Structural Safety Fee	0.007 0.005	x x	\$21,799,000	\$1,000,000	\$103,995		
(2) Physically Handicapped Fee	0.004	x	\$500,000	\$2,000			
(=,,,,,,,,,, -	0.002	x	\$1,500,000	\$3,000			
	0.0002	x	\$19,799,000	\$3,960			
Total -State Supportable DSA, Plan Check Fee					\$8,960		
* **							
D. Community College, Plan Check Fee							
State Funded: 2/7 of 1% of Construction Cost			\$21,799,000 x	0.00286		\$62,000	
E. Other Costs (Legal Advertising)(EIR),etc.							
1) Printing and Advertising					\$70,000		
2) Legal Services					\$10,000		
						\$80,000	

July 1, 2013

Date:

\$14,919

\$299,995

\$76,619

\$27,451

5.2 - Quantities and Unit Costs Supporting the JCAF 32 State Supportable Costs

Rancho Santiago Community College District

(f) Trash Enclosure

(c) Trees, 24" Box

(a) Landscape and Irrigation, Perimeter

(b) Landscape and Irrigation, Planters at Building

(3) Landscaping

District:

College:	Santa Ana College					Prepared By:	HMC Architects	/FPACS
Project:	Fine and Performing Arts Complex Replacement		•			CCI / ENR: Construction Months:	5754	3147 24
4 CON	STRUCTION							
A. U	Itility Service							
	(1) Site Mechanical Utilities							
	Water Supply							
	(a) Point of Connection, Piping, Appurtenances,							
	DW	300	LF	@	\$59.68	\$17,904		
	(b) Point of Connection, Piping, Appurtenances,							
	FL	100	LF	@	\$149.24	\$14,924		
	Sanitary Sewer							
	(a) Point of Connection, Piping, Appurtenances	325	LF	@	\$82.09	\$26,679		
	Storm Drainage		LF					
	(a) Point of Connection, Piping, Appurtenances	1,500		@	\$97.02	\$145,530		
l	Fuel Distribution	·	LF					
	(a) Point of Connection, Piping, Appurtenances	75	LF	@	\$44.80	\$3,360		
	Total - Site Mechanical Utilities			_			\$208,397	_
1	(2) Site Electrical Utilities							•
	(a) Power and Communication	400	LF	@	\$223.80	\$89,521		
	(b) Site Lighting	58,625	SF	@	\$2.29	\$134,460		
	Total - Site Electrical Utilities						\$223,981	-
1	Total - Utility Service							\$432,000
B. S	ite Development Services				_			
1	(1) Paving							
1	(a) Concrete Curb and Gutter	300	LF	@	\$31.63	\$9,489		
	(b) AC Paving, 3" AC / 8" AB	2,000	SF	@	\$6.93	\$13,868		
1	(c) Sign with Pole	3	EA	@	\$376.40	\$1,129		
	Total - Pavlug						\$24,485	-
	(2) Pedestrian Walks							
	(a) Concrete Walk	7,755	SF	@	\$6.83	\$52,944		
I	(b) Concrete Plaza Paving	14,000	SF	@	\$20.13	\$28t,750		
1	(c) Concrete Ramp, Curb Cut	2	EA	@	\$1,118.96	\$2,238		
1	(d) Concrete Thickened Edge	1,500	LF	@	\$15.31	\$22,962		
	(e) Concrete Seat Wall	520	LF	_	\$179.05	\$93,107		
1	(D.T. at Paulania	1	EA	(2)	£14 010 22	C14 010		

		9			
					\$404,065
129,013	SF	@	\$0.21	\$27,525	
					\$27,525
14,985	SF	@	\$17	\$249,875	
7,875	SF	@	\$16.68	\$131,316	
22,537	SF	@	\$16.68	\$375,804	
45,397	SF	@	\$4.89	\$221,878	
	129,013 14,985 7,875 22,537	129,013 SF 14,985 SF 7,875 SF 22,537 SF	129,013 SF @ 14,985 SF @ 7,875 SF @ 22,537 SF @	129,013 SF @ \$0.21 14,985 SF @ \$17 7,875 SF @ \$16.68 22,537 SF @ \$16.68	129,013 SF @ \$0.21 \$27,525 14,985 SF @ \$17 \$249,875 7,875 SF @ \$16.68 \$131,316 22,537 SF @ \$16.68 \$375,804

1

31,620

3,250

35

Total - Pedestrian Walks

EA @

SF

SF

EA @

@

\$14,919.22

\$9.49

\$23.58

\$784.32

\$896,000

\$467,920

5.2 - Quantities and Unit Costs Supporting the JCAF 32 State Supportable Costs

itrict: llege:	Rancho Santiago Community College District Santa Ana College					Date: Prepared By:	July 1, 2013 HMC Architects	/FPACS	
oject:	Fine and Performing Arts Complex Replacement					CCI / ENR: Construction Monti	5754 hs:	3147 24	
	Total - Site Demolition						\$978,873		
	(3) Site Earthwork						·····		
	Over Excavate and Recompact 5' Deep								
	(a) Excavate	13,035	CY	@	\$4.59	\$59,791			
	(b) Scarify and Compact	70,387	SF	@	\$0.43	\$30,034			
	(c) Fill, Spread	13,035	CY	@	\$1.97	\$25,724			
	(d) Fill, Allow 25% for Shrinkage, Import	3,259	CY	@	\$17.12	\$55,794			
	(e) Fill, Compact	16,294	CY	@	\$3.52	\$57,358			
	Over Excavate and Recompact 2' Deep	•		_					
	(a) Excavate	4,343	CY	@	\$4.59	\$19,921			
	(b) Scarify and Compact	58,625		@	\$0.43	\$25,015			
	(c) Fill, Spread	4,343		@	\$1.97	\$8,571			
	(d) Fill, Allow 25%for Shrinkage, Import	1,086		@	\$17.12	\$18,589			
	(e) Fill, Compact	5,429		@	\$3.52	\$19,111			
	(f) General Site Grading, Rough	129,013		@	\$0.21	\$27,525			
	(g) General Site Grading, Fine	58,625		@	\$0.21	\$18,761			
	(g) General Site Grading, rine Total - Site Earthwork	30,023	3F	œ.	30.32	318,701	\$366,194		
							3300,174	\$1,373,000	
	Total - Site Development, General							31,37,000	
D Oil	her Site Development								
D. Ou	1. Temporary fencing	1	LS	@	\$42,693.75	\$42,694			
	2. Temporary utilities	i	LS	_	\$161,000.00				
	Total - Other Site Development	•		9	3101,000.00	4101,000	•	\$204,000	
	construction Total - Reconstruction							\$0	
F. Ne	ew Construction (Building) (Including Group 1 Equip.) Room Description	ASF			Unit Cost	Total			
	Classroom	3,310	ASF	@	\$476	\$1,575,560			
	Fine and Applied Arts	7,000	ASF	@	\$489	\$3,423,000			
	Music Lab	6,255	ASF	@	\$599	\$3,746,745			
	Dramatic Arts	3,240	ASF	@	\$544	\$1,762,560			
	Faculty Office	2,100	ASF	@	\$502	\$1,054,200			
	Theater Arts	10,590	ASF	_	\$570	\$6,036,300			
	Exhibition Area	1750	ASF	@	\$570 \$529	\$925,750			
	Exhibition Area	1730	ASE	@	3329	3923,730			
	Total - New Construction	34,245	ASF					\$18,524,000	
G. 01 1.	ther Energy Efficiency Incentive	\$18,524,	.000	x	2%	\$370,480			
	Total Other	φ. σ ₁ σωτη	,	^	270	3370,700	-	\$370,000	
-	a deli Ottici			- 1				4570,000	
	Total - Construction	-							\$21,79
									£1.000
5 CON	TINGENCY OF 5% (7% for Remodels)				:	5% x \$21,799,000	\$1,089,950	-	\$1,090

5.2 - Quantities and Unit Costs Supporting the JCAF 32 State Supportable Costs

Distric		Rancho Santiago Community College District Santa Ana College					-	ate: repared By:	July 1, 2013 HMC Architec	ts/FPACS	
Projec	:t:	Fine and Performing Arts Complex Replacement						C1 / ENR: onstruction Month	5754 s:	3147 24	
	ESTS	AND INSPECTIONS Testing				1% :	ĸ	\$21,799,000		\$217,990	
E	3.	Inspection Total - Test and Inspection	25	mo	@	\$11,712.00	=	\$292,800		\$292,800	\$511,000
8 (CONST	FRUCTION MANAGEMENT (If justified) DIR Labor Compliance Fee				2% : 0.25% :		\$21,799,000 \$13,533,980		\$435,980 \$33,835	\$470,000
9 7	FOTA	L (Construction costs) (Item 4 through 8 above)									\$24,306,000
10 1	FURN	TURE AND GROUP II EQUIPMENT								State Supportable	\$203,000
11	тота	L (Project cost) (Items 1, 2, 3, 9, and 10)						9			\$26,665,000

Summary of State and Local Cost Contributions

		Total \$	State \$	Local S	State%	Local %	
1	Site Acquisition	\$0	\$0	\$0	100.00%	0.00%	
2	Preliminary Plans	\$1,316,000	\$658,000	\$658,000	50.00%	50.00%	
3	Working Drawings	\$840,000	\$420,000	\$420,000	50.00%	50.00%	
4	Construction	\$21,799,000	\$10,900,000	\$10,899,000	50.000%	50.000%	
5	Contingency	\$1,090,000	\$545,000	\$545,000	50.00%	50.00%	
6	A&E Oversight	\$436,000	\$218,000	\$218,000	50.00%	50.00%	
7	Testing & Inspection	\$511,000	\$255,000	\$256,000	50.00%	50.00%	
8	Construction Mgmt	\$470,000	\$235,000	\$235,000	50.00%	50.00%	
9	Total Construction (Sum 4 thru 8)	\$24,306,000	\$12,153,000	\$12,153,000			
10	Equipment	\$203,000	\$102,000	\$101,000	50.00%	50.00%	
11	Total Project	\$26,665,000	\$13,333,000	\$13,332,000	50.00%	50.00%	Total % 100.00%

6.1 CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION APPROVED AUDIT

This project will be designed to exceed Title 24, Part 6 Energy Code by 15%, consistent with the Board of Governors Energy and Sustainability policy. The design should incorporates sustainable goals for site, energy efficiency, water use reduction, storm water management, occupant health as well as minimizing the buildings impact on the environment both by design and construction. Strategies will consider:

- Natural and native planting materials will be incorporated around the building to minimize, if not eliminate, the irrigation demand.
- Concrete walkways will be minimized to reduce storm water runoff and promote natural filtration into the soil as well as a reduction in the heat island effect.
- Overhangs have been incorporated to shade glazing.
- Low E dual glazing will be incorporated to reduce heat gain.
- Roofing will incorporate cool roofing to reduce the heat island effect and heat gain.
- Heating and cooling will be provided by a highly energy efficient HVAC system.
- Independent HVAC controls provided where applicable.
- Natural lighting will be incorporated into most spaces.
- · Energy saving lighting with automatic lighting controls and sensors.
- Interior materials will be low in volatile organic compounds, high in recycled content.
- Water efficient fixtures, faucets and devices will be incorporated.
- · A strict recycling program will be required during construction.
- Requested participation in the local utility's energy incentive program.
- Photovoltaic panels will be incorporated where appropriate.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814 IMS Mail Code: A15

BUDGET YEAR 2015-16

ORG CODE: <u>6870</u> COBCP NO: _ PRIORITY: __ PROJECT ID: <u>40.41</u>.

A. PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT

1. Executive Summary

Santa Ana College is one of two colleges in the Rancho Santiago Community College District. The fine and performing arts programs are delivered in three buildings: Phillips Hall constructed in 1967, Music Building constructed in 1970 and Art Building constructed in 1972.

The scope of this project replaces the space in Phillips Hall (space inventory building #14), Music Building (space inventory building #25), and Art Building (space inventory building #29). Project spaces result in 3,310 assignable square feet (asf) lecture, 16,495 asf lab, 2,100 asf office and 12,340 asf other for a total of 34,245 asf. The increase of 1,091 asf is to meet current building codes while maintaining the same number of student stations as in the existing spaces. The existing three buildings will be demolished.

The project results in a weekly student contact hours (WSCH) increase by 196, from 13,938 WSCH to 14,134 resulting from modified space configurations and efficiencies.

This is a Category C project – modernization of instructional space.

2. Problem Statement

The campus of Santa Ana College is one of the two colleges in the Rancho Santiago Community College District. Established in 1915, it is the fourth oldest community college in California and serves over 18,000 students.

The fine and performing arts programs are housed in three separate buildings adjacent to in the northeast portion of the fully-built campus. Phillips Hall, the 3-story performing arts facility with an auditorium, was constructed in 1967 (space inventory building #14) and is a highly visible campus facilities bringing the community to campus. The single-story Music Building (space inventory building #25) was constructed in 1970. The youngest of the three buildings is the Art Building (space inventory #29), with two stories, was completed in 1972. None of these facilities have been significantly upgraded since original construction.

These buildings, constructed 40 to 46 years ago can no longer meet the student demands in the fine and performing arts. Specific issues include:

Building spaces do not provide code compliant access and restricts equal access by all students, faculty and staff.

Efforts have been made; however, the buildings are not fully accessible to everyone per existing physical access building codes. There is no elevator or lift to access parts of the theater including the mezzanine, control booths, theater stage, stage wings, and costume and scene storage. The theater's audience chamber is not sloped to meet current access code. Access to other spaces in the art and music buildings is also limited.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814 IMS Mail Code: A15

BUDGET YEAR 2015-16

ORG CODE: 6870 COBCP NO: PRIORITY: PROJECT ID: 40.41.

• Configuration and lack of room flexibility do not support modern instructional programming reducing effectiveness of instruction.

These buildings were constructed several decades ago to support instructional programs then. Instructional delivery has evolved and existing spaces lack the configuration and flexibility to adapt to today's way of teaching and learning and cannot use space resources efficiently. This hindrance is reflected from the basic classroom that is not shaped or sized to optimize space to the fly tower that is too cramped install smart lighting systems.

- Physical design of instructional space does not support current teaching modalities and hinder students ability to learn from state-of-the-art instruction.
 - Space for the arts programs does not meet unique instructional needs. Photography lab spaces were constructed as wet labs to support the chemical development of photos many years ago. Today's digital photography needs require other lab designs. The art building does not have natural lighting for painting and drawing classes and the ceiling heights are not conducive for gallery lighting and suspension of objects for exhibitions. The Music Building was not originally designed for the music program and does not have acoustic separations and sound isolation. The current physical design does not allow for the optimum use of modern equipment in the building's instructional spaces.
- Learning spaces are not supported by technology and does not provide full use of teaching and learning tools.

With rapidly changing technology, learning and teaching methods are constantly evolving to ensure student success in the workplace or transfer to other higher educational institutions. Digital fine arts programs that didn't exist even a decade ago today are the drivers of entertainment technology including digital art and video and audio productions. Updated equipment cannot be fully installed such as intelligent lighting systems in the theater. Existing academic and support spaces don't have the technical capacity to provide state-of-the art learning environments for students. Updated equipment cannot be completely installed.

- Buildings' systems cannot support the infrastructure needed to deliver modern instructional modalities limiting the use of instructional technology.
 - The three dated buildings were constructed to support the electrical, technological, and mechanical needs of many years ago and cannot provide the infrastructure to support the performance of changing needs. The facilities do not have the electrical capacity or access to power to provide the energy for the increased needs of computers and control systems. Air handlers and associated distribution system do not have the capacity to moderate the environment for different needs.
- Faculty offices and program support spaces lack effectiveness.

 Faculty offices are not grouped together where they can collaborate to better coordinate programming, space use, and meet the needs of students. Program support spaces and storage are not equipped, located and sized to meet the needs of the programs. Theater

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814 IMS Mail Code: A15

BUDGET YEAR 2015-16

ORG CODE: <u>6870</u> COBCP NO: _ PRIORITY: _ PROJECT ID: <u>40.41.</u>

scenes and costumes are stored in containers in other campus locations. Art and music storage spaces are not close to program spaces.

3. Solution Criteria

To mitigate these problems, the College seeks a solution that meets the following criteria:

- Building spaces that are accessible per current building codes;
- Flexible instructional spaces configured to support modern instruction and adaptable for different teaching modalities that maximize space use and resources;
- Building space configuration that is efficient to operate and energy efficient;
- Spaces designed and equipped with technology, equipment and building support that promote innovative teaching modalities for the present and for decades to come;
- Instructional spaces with the design and group I equipment to support specialized programs;
- Faculty offices with adjacencies promoting collaboration;
- Program support spaces sized and equipment for maximum effectiveness;
- Permanent, centrally located, on-campus solution that does not adversely impact campus' operational budget;
- Instructional spaces consistent with the mission the campus strategic plan; and
- Least cost solution to mitigate instructional space issues.

B. RELATIONSHIP TO THE STRATEGIC PLAN

Santa Ana College's Educational Master Plan 2007-2012 mission statement set the institution to be a leader and partner to meet the needs of students and community by preparing students for transfer to other higher education institutions, the workforce and the continuation of lifelong learning. Goals to meet the College's mission include: educating students in a technological rich environment; ensuring student success by removing barriers; employing innovative teaching techniques/non-traditional delivery modes; supporting student networks; and educating students with the academic competencies to assure continued success in the workforce and further education.

To help achieve the College's mission, the 2011 Facilities Master Plan set forth goals to provide the learning spaces where students can learn and thrive. These outcomes result in facilities that are focused on students, encourage collaboration, achieve long-term solutions that are consistent with state guidelines, and incorporate universal design principles.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814 IMS Mail Code: A15

BUDGET YEAR 2015-16

ORG CODE: 6870 COBCP NO: _ PRIORITY: _ PROJECT ID: 40.41.

C. ALTERNATIVES

1. Alternatives

In considering alternatives the College looked at options to meet the primary needs of the College's educational and facilities master plans.

Alternatives to this project include:

- Alternative #1 Replace existing space with new space
- Alternative #2 -- Renovate existing buildings
- Alternative #3 Lease space off-campus

Alternative #1 - Replace existing space with new space

This alternative replaces the existing three buildings (Phillips Hall, art and music) with new spaces in a single building. The option results in 3,310 asf lecture, 16,495 asf lab, 2,100 as office and 12,340 asf other (including a theater) other spaces for a total of 34,245 asf. This option increases the asf by 1,091 to accommodate existing building codes while maintaining the same number of student stations in each space type. The three existing buildings will be demolished.

This alternative provides code compliance for physical access in addition to space configurations to maximize use. Designed with flexibility, the new spaces will have the appropriate technology, building infrastructure, and program support spaces to meet the demands of the modern program for today and several decades. Faculty offices are located to promote collaboration and optimize resource use.

The new energy efficient building, constructed in the same area as the existing buildings, allows the arts programs to maintain a visible profile and provides a permanent solution that is consistent with the campus' strategic plan. This option does not adversely impact the College's operational budget. It is the least cost solution; requires a shared capital investment from the District and the State.

Alternative #2 - Renovate existing buildings

This alternative renovates the existing spaces in Phillips Hall, Art and Music buildings to modernize the spaces. The buildings would need to be expanded to accommodate current building codes including full physical access to maintain the existing number of student stations.

This option provides the updated infrastructure and technology to deliver modern teaching modalities; however, not all identified issues can be addressed completely. Due to building orientation constraints, the theatre cannot be remodeled to provide the necessary theatre and wing spaces while provide full building code accommodations. An elevator would be installed to provide access to all spaces (no elevator currently exists) and the fly tower would

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814 IMS Mail Code: A15

BUDGET YEAR 2015-16

ORG CODE: 6870 COBCP NO: _ PRIORITY: _ PROJECT ID: 40.41.

need major renovation to accommodate technologically advanced lighting systems and electrical clearances.

This alternative provides limited modern spaces, but doesn't meet the needs of the programs due to configuration constraints, does not promote collaboration amongst faculty located in separate buildings. Additionally, this alternative does not fully meet strategic plan objectives and is not the least cost solution. This option requires a shared capital investment from the District and the State.

Alternative #3 - Lease space off-campus

This alternative requires a long-term lease of space adjacent or close to the College suitable for the required Instructional program needs. The lease entails 34,245 asf of space to accommodate the instructional, program support, and office spaces along with a staged theater. The rented space will also provide adequate parking and security services and the building will require the approval of the Division of the State Architect.

There are no facilities of this type close to the college. The nearest high school uses their theater and does not have other spaces for College instruction particularly during the day. This option creates hardships for students who might have limited or no private transportation. Off-site program spaces can also create scheduling difficulties for students as there may be insufficient time between classes for travel from one site to another.

Leasing spaces, along with tenant improvements, parking and security services adversely impacts the College's operational budget. This alternative does not keep the fine and performing arts programs visibly located on campus, is not a permanent solution and inconsistent with the strategic plan. This is also the most expensive cost solution.

(Continued on next page)

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814 IMS Mail Code: A15

BUDGET YEAR 2015-16

ORG CODE: 6870 COBCP NO: _ PRIORITY: _ PROJECT ID: 40.41.

2. Criteria Analysis Matrix

CRITERIA	Alternative #1 Replace Existing with New Space	Alternative # 2 Remodel Existing Space	Alternative # 3 Lease Space Off-Campus
Facilities that are compliant with existing building codes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Flexible spaces maximizing use, energy efficient, and efficient to operate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Facilities compliant with current building codes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Instructional that support the use of modern technology and building infrastructure support	Yes	No	Yes
Instructional spaces designed with the necessary space elements to fully deliver instruction	Yes	No	Yes
Faculty offices with adjacencies promoting collaboration	Yes	Yes	Yes
Permanent, highly visible space centrally located on campus	Yes	Yes	No
Does not adversely impact the college's operations budget	Yes	No	No
Supports the College's strategic plan	Yes	No	No
Is the least cost solution	Yes	No	No

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814 IMS Mail Code: A15

BUDGET YEAR 2015-16

ORG CODE: <u>6870</u>

COBCP NO: __

PRIORITY: __ PROJECT ID: 40.41.

3. Economic Analysis Matrix

	Alternate #1* Construct New Space	Alternate #2 Remodel Existing	Alternate #3 Lease Off-Site
Site Acquisition	\$0	\$0	\$0
Plans & Working			
Drawings	\$2,156,000	\$2,486,000	\$0_
Construction Costs:			
Utility Service	\$432,000	\$476,000	\$0
Site Development,			
Service	\$896,000	\$945,000	\$0
Site Development,	A	4 4 22 7 22 2	
General	\$1,373,000	\$1,605,000	\$0
Other Site Development	\$204,000	\$254,000	\$0
Reconstruction	\$0	\$17,758,000	\$0
New Construction	\$18,524,000	\$0	\$0
Other Construction	\$370,000	\$355,000	\$0
Testing/Inspection	\$511,000	\$600,000	\$0
Contingency	\$1,090,000	\$1,497,000	\$0
CM/AE Oversight	\$906,000	\$997,000	\$0
Total Construction	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Costs	\$24,306,000	\$24,487,000	\$0
Equipment (Group II)	\$203,000	\$203,000	\$203,000
Leases for 50 years**	\$0	\$0	\$56,504,250
Total Project Costs @ CCI 5754 and EPI 3147	\$26,665,000	\$27,176,000	\$56,707,250

Escalated per Department of Finance **Budget Letter BL 0X-XX**

^{*} Figures Taken from Units and Supporting Costs for the JCAF32

^{** \$2.75} per asf per month x 34,245 asf x 12 months x 50 years

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814 IMS Mail Code: A15

BUDGET YEAR 2015-16

ORG CODE: <u>6870</u>

COBCP NO: _

PRIORITY: __ PROJECT ID: 40.41.

D. RECOMMENDED SOLUTION

1. Which alternative and why?

Alternative #1 - replace existing with new space is the option that meets all of the solution criteria. The new spaces will be configured and physically equipped to meet program instruction plus provide the flexibility for use by multi-disciplines into the future. The spaces are designed to be current building code compliant and provide access for all. Faculty offices enjoy adjacencies to promote collaboration for program, student and resource use. This option maintains the program spaces in a visible permanent home on campus.

This alternative is also the least cost option. Replacing buildings almost 50 years old serves students for today and into the future with the flexible and modern smart spaces. A singular new building is more efficient and cost effective to operate than three separate dated buildings and provides the desired program, program support and faculty office adjacencies. This option does not adversely impact the College's operational budget, and is consistent with the District's strategic plan.

Why the other alternatives are not recommended:

Alternative #2 - remodel existing space: this alternative is not recommended because it meets only some of the solution criteria. Space reconfigurations would be limited by the building envelope. Due to the way the theater building is situated, there is not enough room to expand to provide a stage and wings and audience seating to meet code and provide the spaces needed or maintain similar number of seats.

Remodeling can improve some spaces but does not allow for the optimum use of instructional space or equipment. This is not the least cost solution and is not consistent with the College's strategic plan.

Alternative #3 - Lease space off-campus: this option also does not meet all of the solution criteria. This alternative poses challenges in finding adequate State-approved space near the campus especially for the theater and support spaces. This alternative distances the programs, faculty and students from campus, creating hardship for students in the logistical issues with transportation, scheduling and resources. This alternative is inconsistent with the College's strategic plan of eliminating temporary space. This is the most expensive option and adversely impacts the campus operations budget.

2. Detail scope description

This is a Category C project – modernizing instructional space.

The scope of this project replaces the space in Phillips Hall (space inventory building #14), Music Building (space inventory building #25), and Art Building (space inventory building #29). Project spaces result in 3,310 asf lecture, 16,495 asf lab, 2,100 asf office and 12,340

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814 IMS Mail Code: A15

BUDGET YEAR 2015-16

ORG CODE: 6870 COBCP NO: _ PRIORITY: _ PROJECT ID: 40.41.

asf other for a total of 34,245 asf. The increase of 1,091 asf is to meet current building codes while maintaining the same number of student stations as in the existing spaces. The existing three buildings will be demolished.

As reflected in the Space Analysis table below, the project also adjusts capacity/load ratios for lecture, lab and office spaces to be more in line with space guidelines assisting in the reduction of overbuilt spaces in lecture and office. The project increases the WSCH by 196, from 13,938 WSCH to 14,134 resulting from modified space configurations and efficiencies.

Space Analysis (ASF):

Туре	Lecture	Lab	Office	Library	AV/TV	Other	Total
Primary	3,310	16,495	2,100	0	0	12,340	34,245
Secondary	-3,353	-15,608	-2,101	0	0	-12,092	-33,154
Net	-43	887	-1	0	0	248	1,091
Beg. Cap/Load Ratios (2015)	125.5%	87.9%	104.1%	39.3%	29.8%	N/A	97.7%
End. Cap/Load Ratios (2018)	108.4%	94.0%	93.7%	42.1%	28.5%	N/A	89.2%

The District is contributing 50% toward state-supportable project costs.

3. Basis for cost information

The architect for this project, using cost guidelines provided by the State Chancellor's Office, engineering data based upon the building specifications, and professional cost estimating, has provided the cost estimates.

This project will be designed to exceed Title 24, Part 6 Energy Code by 15%, consistent with the Board of Governors Energy and Sustainability policy. The design should incorporates sustainable goals for site, energy efficiency, water use reduction, storm water management, occupant health as well as minimizing the buildings impact on the environment both by design and construction. Strategies will consider:

- Natural and native planting materials will be incorporated around the building to minimize, if not eliminate, the irrigation demand;
- Concrete walkways will be minimized to reduce storm water runoff and promote natural filtration into the soil as well as a reduction in the heat island effect;
- · Overhangs have been incorporated to shade glazing;
- Low E dual glazing will be incorporated to reduce heat gain;
- Roofing will incorporate cool roofing to reduce the heat island effect and heat gain;
- Heating and cooling will be provided by a highly energy efficient HVAC system;
- Independent HVAC controls provided where applicable;

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814 IMS Mail Code: A15

BUDGET YEAR 2015-16

ORG CODE: <u>6870</u>

COBCP NO: __

PRIORITY: __

PROJECT ID: <u>40.41.</u>

- Natural lighting will be incorporated into most spaces;
- Energy saving lighting with automatic lighting controls and sensors;
- Interior materials will be low in volatile organic compounds, high in recycled content;
- Water efficient fixtures, faucets and devices will be incorporated;
- A strict recycling program will be required during construction;
- Requested participation in the local utility's energy incentive program; and
- Photovoltaic panels will be incorporated where appropriate.
- 4. Factors/benefits for recommended other than the least expensive alternative

The project presents the least cost solution.

5. Complete description of impact on support budget

No additional personnel or operating costs will result from this remodel. Please see 9.1 Analysis of Future Costs in this proposal.

6. Identify and explain any project risks

No known risks have been identified for this project at this time.

- 7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approvals (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals)
- Division of the State Architect and the State Fire Marshall review for structural safety, access compliance and fire life safety plan and field reviews
- State Public Works Board approval of preliminary plans

E. CONSISTENCY WITH CHAPTER 1016, STATUTES OF 2002 - AB 857

- 1. Does the recommended solution (proposed project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how?
- 2. Does the proposed project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources?
- 3. Does the proposed project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that Infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth?

Consistent with the provisions of AB 857, Chapter 1016, Statutes of 2002, the California Community Colleges are exempt from these specific provisions of this legislation.

8.1 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

(Reference: California Code of Regulations, Title 5, Section 57121)

It has been determined that a Negative Declaration will apply to this project. This declaration will be submitted to the appropriate agencies for approval prior to the submission of the Preliminary Plans to the Chancellors Office.

9.1 ANALYSIS OF FUTURE COSTS

Provide an economic analysis of additional instructional, administrative, and maintenance cost resulting from the proposed project, including personnel years. Disclose all new courses or programs to be housed in the project that may need Chancellor's Office review.

Personnel Costs		
Certificated:	Existing faculty will be moved to the new building when will be no increase in costs for faculty.	n it is complete. Consequently, there
Classified:	Existing staff will be moved to the new building when it be no increase in costs for faculty.	t is complete. Consequently, there will
Depreciation, Maint	enance, and Operation:	
No additional will not chang	Maintenance and Operations resources will be required sign.	nce the outside gross square footage
L		
Program/Course/Se	rvice Approvals: List all new programs/courses/services	to be housed in this project or its
secondary effects and	give the date of approval. If there are not new programs/state. This is not required for equipment-only projects.	
Name of New	v Program/Course/Service	Date of Approval
No new progr	ams	

CFIS #: 40.41.XXX

JCAF 33- FINE AND PERFORMING ARTS COMPLEX REPLACEMENT (Santa Ana College/Rancho Santiago CCD) (Official) EPI: | 1147 D (7/11) V

S LAD					_	II			_		Total
Ę.,		0 0 0	Department	No.	No. Sta	Room No.	ASF	Sec.	Increase In Space	Equip Cost Per ASF	Allowable Cost
Туре	Describition	OF RG.					2 240	2 252	43	\$14.71	20
110	Classroom	6600	General Assignment				010,0	200,5-			
210	Class Lab	0602	Journalism					-622	-622	\$25.80	20
210	Class Lab	1002	Art (Painting, Drawing and Sculpture)				2,000	-7,327	-327	\$36.02	0\$
340	Class Jah	1004	Music				6,255	4,425	1,830	\$57.88	\$105,920
2 6	Class ah	1007	Dramatic Arts				3,240	-2,012	1,228	\$36.02	\$44,233
2 6	Class Lab	1009	Applied Design					-1,088	-1,088	\$36.02	\$0
	4-1-10	4030	Graphic Arts and Design					-134	-134	\$36.02	80
012	Class Lab	2001					2 100	-2 101	7	\$23.01	\$0
300 - 355	300 - 355 Faculty Offices	0099 - 4999 Genera	General Assignment				۲,۱۵۵	- 1			
610	Assembly	1007	Dramatic Arts				10,590	-10,120	470	\$73.88	_
620	Exhibition	6140	Museums and Galleries				1,750	-1,293	457	\$39.48	\$18,0
720	Shop	6530	Custodial Services					-76	92-	\$67.44	
989	Public Walting	1007	Dramatic Arts					-603	-603		\$0
Totale.							34,245	-33,154	1,091		\$202,919
				-	-						

Indicates manual override

13.1 - Detailed Equipment List

District:

Rancho Santiago Community College District Fine and Performing Arts Complex

Project:

Replacement

College:

Santa Ana College

July 1, 2013

m#	Room Description	ltem Description ¹	Units	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Less Existing Inventory ²	Total New Equipment Needed
	GI.]	
	Classroom	Smart Workstation	3	\$2,400	\$ 7,200		\$7,200
		Instructor Computer	3	\$1,000	\$ 3,000		\$3,000
		LCD Projector	3	\$1,000	\$ 3,000		\$3,000
		Document Visual Presenter	3	\$2,400	\$ 7,200	1	\$7,200
		Sound System	3	\$1,000	\$ 3,000		\$3,000
		AV Presentation Equipment & Cabling	3	\$2,400	\$ 7,200		\$7,200
		Student Desks	165	\$200	\$ 33,000	1	\$33,000
		Faculty Chair	3	\$200	\$ 600	1	\$600
		Faculty Desk	3	\$970	\$ 2,910	1	\$2,910
		Free standing 36" Podium	3	\$264	\$ 792	l .	\$792
		Instructor Stool for Smart Station	3	\$200	\$ 600		\$600
		Telephone	3	\$500	\$1,500	ļ	\$1,500
	Faculty Offices						ļ
		Computer	21	\$1,000	\$21,000	1	\$21,000
		Printer/Copier	21	\$1,200	\$25,200		\$25,200
		Telephone	21	\$500	\$10,500	1	\$10,500
		Workstation Including Storage	21	\$1,837	\$38,577	ļ	\$38,577
		Bookcases 36' Ht	21	\$500	\$10,500	1	\$10,500
		Staff Task Chair	21	\$200	\$4,200		\$4,200
		Guest Chair	42	\$200	\$8,400		\$8,400
	Music Lab						
		Tables	13	\$475	\$6,175		\$6,175
	1	Chairs	39	\$200	\$7,800		\$7,800
		ADA Table	1	\$565	\$565		\$565 \$0
_	Consideration of the Constant				\$202,919		\$202,919
	Grand Total						1
	NET TOTAL REQUEST						\$202,91

Allocation

\$202,919





2323 North Broadway • Santa Ana, CA 92706 - 1640 • (714) 480-7300 • www.rsccd.edu

Santa Ana College . Santiago Canyon College

May 24, 2013

Ms. Lisa Hannaman Southern California Edison PO Box 300 Rosemead, CA 91772

Subject:

Letter of Interest:

California Community College New Construction for Partnerships /

Savings-by-Design Participation

Project Name:

Santa Ana College - Fine and Performing Arts Complex Replacement

Dear Ms. Hannaman:

The Rancho Santiago Community College District (RSCCD) would like to participate in the Southern California Edison Public Utilities New Construction for Partnerships / Savings-by-Design (NCP/SBD) program for the project identified above. We understand that this is a nonresidential new construction and renovation/remodel energy efficiency program, funded by utility customers through the Public Purpose Programs surcharge. We are interested in improving the energy efficiency of our upcoming projects using design assistance and financial incentives available through the NCP/SBD program.

RSCCD agrees to provide required documentation as requested which includes a completed application for each project. We are willing to consider efficiency recommendations that will improve the performance of these projects significantly beyond Title 24 (or other baseline) requirements.

RSCCD understands that participation in the NCP/SBD program is voluntary, and that we are under no obligation to modify the design or construction of our buildings based on resulting recommendations. We also understand that we will receive financial incentives only if we complete an agreement, our eligibility is confirmed by Southern California Edison, the performance of each building in the project meets program requirements, and the energy efficiency strategies are installed and verified by Southern California Edison.

Sincerely.

Peter Hardash

Vice Chancellor, Business Operations/Fiscal Services

Rancho Santiago Community College District

Cc:

Lan Yuan

Capital Outlay Specialist

California Community Colleges Facilities Planning Unit