

Santa Ana College

Planning & Budget Meeting

September 6, 2016



SAC Planning and Budget Committee Sept. 6, 2016 1:30p.m. - 3:00 p.m. S-215

THE PLANNING AND BUDGET COMMITTEE is the participatory governance committee responsible for recommending budget priorities, procedures, and processes to the College Council. The Planning and Budget Committee also functions as a community liaison for fiscal affairs with the college community.

Santa Ana College Participatory Governance Structure Handbook

(May 27, 2015)

1. Welcome and Introductions						
2. Public Comments						
3. Approval of Minutes for March 1, 2016 Approval of Minutes for April 12, 2016 Approval of Minutes for May 3, 2016	ACTION					
 4. Budget Update 15/16 Budget Performance (cash flow) SAC Expenditure Report 15/16 Reconciliation of Ending Balance 15/16 Draft-Fund 13 Expenditure Budget Fy16/17 SAC Unrestricted Budget (Funds 11 & 13) Allocation and Performance by Area:13/14, 14/15 and 15/16 One-time Funds Report 15/16 by Area 	INFORMATION					
5. Enrollment Update	INFORMATION					
6. Student Update	INFORMATION					
7. SACTAC	INFORMATION					
8. Marketing Update	INFORMATION					
9. AccreditationClosing the Loop	INFORMATION					
10. Old Business	INFORMATION					
 RARs New Business Budget Assumptions FY16/17 Future Agenda Items 	ACTION					
13. Other Business						
Next Meeting – Oct. 4, 2016						



SAC PLANNING & BUDGET MEETING

MINUTES – MARCH 1, 2016 S-215 1:30p.m. – 3:00p.m.

Administrators		Academic Senate		CLASSIFIED		GUE	STS		
Mike Collins, co-chair	Ray Hicks co-cl	hair	Brian Sos(a)	*Tom Andrews	Esmeralda Abejar		Carlos Lopez		
Bart Hoffman	Elliott Jones		John Zarske	Omelina Garcia *(alt.)	Joseph Dowd		Tom Andrews		
Jim Kennedy	Monica Porter		George Wright	Denise Hatakeyama	Eve Kikawa		Brenda Serratos		
Lilia Tanakeyowma	Student	Rep.		Jimmy Nguyen			Janet Cruz Teposte		
	John Olivares(a	a)		Leslie Wood-Rogers					
1. WELCOME	•					_	called to order 1:31p.m.		
						Meeting a	adjourned - 2:28p.m.		
			luctions were made.						
2. PUBLIC COMMENTS			N/COMMENTS			ACT	IONS/ FOLLOW UPS		
				legal action taken by the district rel	ated to GKK				
			nere was no comment on	the item.					
3. MINUTES			N/COMMENTS				IONS/ FOLLOW UPS		
			·	ruary 2, 2016 Planning and Budget r	ninutes were	Motion was moved by Jim			
		presented	for approval.			Kennedy to approve the			
							December 1, 2015 and the		
							February 2, 2016 Planning and		
						Budget minutes.			
						2 nd – J. Ng			
							were approved with one		
4 DUDGET LIDDATE		DICCLICCIO	ANI / CON AN AENITC			abstentio			
4. BUDGET UPDATE			N/ COMMENTS			ACI	IONS/ FOLLOW UPS		
		State							
		• State revenue fell short of January forecast, with \$200 million shy of estimated							
		sales tax revenue. Year to date down almost 2%.							
		• Income taxes are down 1.6%, but corporate tax is up 4.3%.							
		• Overall, with five months to go, state revenue is down \$200 million, or about .3%							
		District							
		At FRC, te	ntative budget assumptio	ns were presented for review and a	pproval. The				
		majority of the assumptions were met with approval; however, a few points of							
		clarificatio	n were made as they trav	el to District Council for action:					
		• The \$4	1.3 million deficit shown o	n the tent. Budget assumptions will	be funded				
		with th	ne Dist Budget Stabilizatio	n fund (currently at \$13.7 million).					

BUDGET UPDATE (cont.)	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	 An increase of \$250k is allocated for ongoing legal expenses the District is experiencing \$500k for marketing expenses was intended to be allocated from apportionment funding, then split 50/50 for each college at \$250k each. This was not acceptable, as SAC would fund over 70% of the expense and only get 50% back. A recommendation was made to allocated the legal expense allocation and marketing allocation from the \$1.9 million in one-time funding proposed in the Gov. budget proposal. The tent. budget assumptions will now go to District Council for approvaland then the tent. Budget can begin to be built. 	
	 Recently produced cost analysis of 15-16 Fall/Intersession/Spring schedules as compared to 14-15. Shows an increase in LHE, while the college has experienced a 3% decrease in FTES for the Spring. Looking at ending the spring semester flat, with no FTES growth. The college is SERIOUSLY focusing on scheduling efficiency and FTES generation, as we continue to see our costs escalate rapidly and our revenue stay the same or even decrease. Prepping for FY 16/17 tentative budget build. Due to increasing costs and no material increase in revenue (growth/one time funds) DIST tent budget assumptions show a deficit of \$4.3 million. No determination has been made whether this deficit will be covered out of one-time funds, or out of cuts to ongoing budgets. Budget build starts with a roll of personnel contractual obligations, then looks at "discretionary" spending to The current SAC 15/16 budget is performing well, and will be able to handle (in the short term) the reduction in revenue and increase in expenditures (step and column/health and benefits/full time faculty obligation, etc.). However, CBA obligations have yet to be concluded- which will have an effect on our current 15/16 budget and 16/17 budget planning. FY 16/17 RARs are currently being reconciled and analyzed by the operational VPs. Academic Affairs has allocated the remaining Instructional Equipment funding for 	
5. ENROLLMENT UPDATE	FY 15-16. DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	Overall, it was reported that the college anticipates being flat for the remainder of the year. • Enrollment is down in community college district across the state. • Competition for students is significant. • The college is concerned about having additional revenue to keep up with costs. • The importance of focusing on efficiency in the schedule, operations, productivity and in the use of our physical plant was emphasized. Discussion ensued. • Many factors are playing into this issue. • Construction • Parking	

ENROLLMENT UPDATE (cont.)	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	 o Bog Waiver o Overall competition Provide current students with strong support services to help them retain their Bog waiver eligibility. o Be known for this type of support services that could encourage students who have lost their eligibility elsewhere to come to SAC. Offer high demand online critical courses. SAC satellite campus on high school campuses offering college courses. Members were advised that currently 6%-7% of the colleges' FTE generation comes from Distance Ed. It was noted that online students complete overall at the same rate as face to face students. Distance Education is a critical piece moving forward. How should the college grow their Distance Education program while maintaining the current level of quality. 	
	The college will continue budget for growth. The importance of moving forward in a strategic manner was emphasized.	
6. STUDENT UPDATE	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	There was no student update.	
7. SACTAC	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS It was reported that the committee is currently in discussions regarding the following;	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	 New goals, old goals What is a basic 2016 classroom in terms of technology? Talking points regarding the SAC Technology Master Plan. Members were reminded that the Planning and Budget committee looks to SACTAC for updates, professional expertise and advisement on the colleges' investment to our academic environment. 	
8. MARKETING UPDATE	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	 The college continues to keep focused on marketing efforts: Currently working on FY 16-17 marketing plan, focusing on summer/fall/intersession. Allocated preliminary \$200,000 for marketing at SAC (split with Equity funding). Currently have a balance of \$174k from our 15-16 marketing allocation. The College is levering its commitment to Equity and access, while responsibly planning and executing our College's marketing plan and strategies. Working on the 16/17 Marketing plan for summer, fall and Intersession. o Static efforts will continue with banners, postcards, emails as well as dynamic opportunities such as radios, TV interviews, etc. Our focus will be - How can we differentiate Santa Ana College? The college has engaged the services of a grass roots marketing group to help heighten our social media exposure. Efforts are currently focused on Instagram, Twitter and Facebook platforms. 	

MARKETING UPDATE (cont.)	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	 o Marketing digital efforts are measured through impressions and click throughs. o One of the partners on this team is a SAC alum who works extensively in the social media environment. o He is working with the divisions and departments to identify what's unique about SAC, what sets SAC aside. • Strong efforts continue to reach our high school students through our Outreach and Student Services Team. • Marketing continues at the MainPlace kiosk. o The team is evaluating the outcomes from this effort. Overall the campus is taking advantage of what sets SAC apart in directing our marketing opportunities. 	
	An open invitation was offered to anyone interested in attending a Marketing meeting. The next meeting will be held on March 14 in S-215 from 1:30p.m. – 3:00p.m.	
ACCREDITATION	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	Dr. Collins advised the members that moving forward the committee will be working on one of the new Accreditation standards related to fiscal resources. Discussions will include: Our current processes and how well they work. How do we share that information with our college community? How can we improve? How do we capture feedback on our processes? Implementation of those recommended improvements. These discussions will allow for continual improvement on the way the college plans and utilizes its fiscal resources.	
9. OLD BUSINESS	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	There was no old business to report.	
10. NEW BUSINESS	 DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS Spring/Intersession costs Esmeralda Abejar, Campus Budget Manager presented an overview of the Spring/Intersession costs that included a cost comparison from 14/15. (see attached) Intersession costs were very efficient taking into consideration the cost per LHE was higher. The Spring 2016 costs are shown as a projection at this time. Criminal Justice and Fire Academies costs shown are estimated rolled over costs. It was notated that the overview shows the increase in costs without an increase in FTES generation. Important to continues to focus and efficiency and productivity. Comparison reporting allows the committee to be aware of the Schedule costs and the status of our FTES production. Members were reminded that the overview did not include benefits. 	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS

NEW BUSINESS (cont.)	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	Members were reminded that information will continue to be provided regarding	
	costs and revenue as the year moves forward in an effort to keep members updated	
	on how the budget is performing.	
11. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS		
12. OTHER BUSINESS	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	Meeting minutes	
	The meeting minutes will be sent to the membership prior to the meeting. Members	
	are asked to review them ahead of time and be ready to discuss any corrections/edits	
	or move forward to approve them.	

Submitted by Geni Lusk March 21, 2016 Next Meeting – April 12, 2016

1915-2015 SANTA ANA COLLEGE

SAC PLANNING & BUDGET MEETING

MINUTES – APRIL 12, 2016 S-215 1:30p.m. – 3:00p.m.

Administrators		Academic Senate CLASSIFIED			GUE	STS	
Mike Collins, co-chair	Ray Hicks co-chair		Brian Sos(a)	Omelina Garcia (a)	Esmeralda Abe	Esmeralda Abejar Teres	
Bart Hoffman(a)	Elliott Jones(a)		John Zarske	Denise Hatakeyama	Eve Kikawa	Eve Kikawa	
Jim Kennedy(a)	Monica Porter		George Wright	Jimmy Nguyen			
Lilia Tanakeyowma	Student	Rep.		Leslie Wood-Rogers			
	John Olivares(a	1)					
1. WELCOME						_	called to order 1:35p.m. adjourned - 2:58p.m.
			luctions were made.				
2. PUBLIC COMMENTS			N/COMMENTS			ACT	TIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
		•	·	of the sinking of the Titanic			
3. MINUTES			N/COMMENTS				TIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
		The March	n 1, 2016 Planning and B	udget minutes were presented fo	r approval.	The approval of the March 1,	
							be tabled to the April
4 BUDGET UDDATE		DISCUISCION / CON AN AFRITS			meeting due to a lack of quorum.		
4. BUDGET UPDATE			DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS			ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS	
		 State Total State revenues of \$7.40 billion outpaced projections in the proposed released in January by 3 percent (corp. tax up, sales tax up, personal incom down)- this also represents the performance of our state through 9 months Fiscal Year—0.7% (\$512 million higher than the Governor's January budget proposal). UCLA Anderson national economic forecast released last Wednesday- in es stating that California should experience steady but slow economic growth through 2018 – and outperform most other states. Good news for higher education in California, as we rely on capital gains an income tax to fund our college budget and programs through prop 98 The state's innovation centers in San Fran, LA, and Orange County are drivi state's economy- but as we all know, relying on the state's wealthiest earned innovation is bust and boon We'll see how the Governor reacts to the positive economic news and revereports when he releases his May revise. 					

BUDGET UPDATE (cont.)	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
BUDGET UPDATE (cont.)	District The District is not growing, and actually is at risk of producing less FTES than last year. Standing by for ratification of the agreement with CSEA. Appears that FARSCCD has not settled. Tough to build our tentative budget when we don't know what impact settlements will have on our budget availability, and how it will affect our discretionary budgets. In the last FRC, it was recommended that for any deficit the District might encounter at tent. Budget, all three budget centers will have to cover the deficit, with the District Operations cutting their budget by the percentage allocated off the top of apportionment revenue (approx. 17.7%), and the SAC and SCC will cover remaining amount in accordance to their FTES split outlined in the Budget Allocation Model. While an increase of \$250k in legal fees will be paid for by the colleges, the District will not provide marketing funds to the colleges to drive DIST enrollment for FY 16-17. Any marketing funds will have to be budgeted for by the colleges. SAC Currently in the middle of our Tentative Budget build. In essence, balancing back to our adopted budget for FY 15-16, with the exception of our increasing personnel costs and other fixed costs, and taking into consideration the revenue shortfall at this point in tentative budget development, in light of our increasing expenditures related to fixed costs. As is stands right now, there is an approx. \$3.6 million District deficit at tentative budget. SAC's portion of this is approx. \$1m, which will come out of our ending balance in lieu of making significant cuts to our already thin discretionary accounts. Important to remember that these are one-time funds covering this potentially ongoing revenue deficit. Also, remember that this deficit may decrease or potentially increase at Adopted Budget, so stand by for more information as we roll down the track. There are concerns about the significant increase in FY 15-16 LHE outlay and the decrease in FTES production as a college. This was seen for both the f	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS

5. ENROLLMENT UPDATE	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	The following was reported for Spring 2016: • Cred – down 2.9% or 167.78FTES This reflects an improvement from last week. Gr8 offerings are helping. • Non-Credit – down 15.4% or 246.64FTES It was noted that an increase is anticipated on the non-credit side.	
	A strong Summer was noted in credit/non-credit. A strong Fall was also reported in non-credit.	
	YTD SAC is at tracking 45FTES down or .25%. District is down 97FTES or .37% YTD.	
	Intersession schedule offered high demand classes. Offerings become stepping stones for student pathways as well as attract outside students looking to pick up some general ed. classes. It was noted that it is not clear as to how the Intersession offerings impact Spring.	
6. STUDENT UPDATE	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	No update.	
7. SACTAC	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	No update.	
8. MARKETING UPDATE	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	Teresa Mercado Cota provided the members with an update on the college's marketing efforts. The marketing team consistently is looking for innovative ways to market the college both internally and externally. The college is engaging in a number of advertising means.	
	A quick overview of the college marketing buys was presented. External efforts are going beyond Santa Ana Unified and reaching out all over Orange County. Funds are being used to effectively market SAC's programs and quality faculty.	
	Members were advised of the following new efforts to increase the college's visibility and increase awareness in our local population.	
	 Printed materials have been translated into Farsi to reach the Farsi population attending CEC from Irvine. Billboards off freeways and on main streets, specifically the billboard off of the 22fwy and Harbor. This billboard is generating 685,000 impressions per week. Partnership with OCTA for coverage on the busses. From Cal State Long Beach all the way down Westminster Ave. The college is also leveraging this relationship with other routes within the SAC service area. Centennial street banners will be replaced with new SAC marketing banners. Looking at options for banners in the city of Santa Ana. Through the Smolarcorp group, the college is working with a SAC Alum who is specifically focusing on Social Media. SAC's social media followers are increasing each week. 	
	It was also noted that the college enjoys several strong community relationships such as City of Santa Ana, Chambers of Commerce, SAUSD, Consul of Mexico and	

MARKETING UPDATE (cont.)	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	community based grass roots organization. These relationships often allow for free advertisements in their newsletter thus increasing SAC's exposure.	
	Members were reminded that the funds being used for Marketing are a combination of the 15/16 one-time funds (\$250,000) and Equity funds (\$100,000).	
	Eve Kikawa shared the discussion with the Ryan Smolar (Smolarcorp) regarding the possibility of the El Don becoming the newspaper of Santa Ana thus expanding the college's exposure.	
	Dr. Collins extended his appreciation to Teresa for her work noting that the college is doing much more than ever before in the marketing area.	
ACCREDITATION	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	Members were advised that on Wednesday, April 13 College Council will be participating in an Integrated Planning retreat. The retreat will focus on resetting the roles of the Participatory Governance Committees and the Plans the college works through, Educational Master Plan, Facilities Master Plan, Strategic Plan and Technology Plan. Specifically:	
	How SAC's Integrated Planning model works.	
	How do the college plans work together toward the goals outlined in the College	
	Mission and Strategic plan.	
9. OLD BUSINESS	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	There was no old business to report.	
10. NEW BUSINESS	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	FY 16/17 SAC Tentative Budget Development Plan Esmeralda Abejar, Campus Budget Manager presented the FY 16/17 SAC Tentative Budget Development Plan to the membership. Ms. Abejar clarified for the members that Sabbatical leaves are funded as FT instructors and the position is backfilled. Specifically, if the course(s) previously taught by the leave instructor is offered, the part-time instructor position needed would be backfilled.	
	Quarterly Cash Flow report The Quarterly Cash Flow report ending March 2016 was presented. The report reflected a budget usage of 66%. The ending balance is estimated at 4mil.	
11. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS		
12. OTHER	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	 There was discussion regarding the decline in FTES. Members were advised of some of the factors that play into the decline. Downward trend statewide. Potential students go to work as the economy improves. Impact of campus construction. 	

OTHER (cont.)	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	● Declining numbers of SAUSD graduating classes. ■Members were also reminded of the Enrollment Management Task Force efforts. Prior to the semester, the task force team reviews environmental scans, efficiency and productivity, and demographic data to determine the upcoming offerings. There will be a more robust approach to the Educational Master Plan, Strategic Plan and the Enrollment Management Plan. It was noted that an Integrated Planning Workshop	
	with College Council will be held on April 13. Members were advised that the revenue from the International Students program has increased significantly. The college is actively pursuing international markets selling the Santa Ana College brand.	
	■Members were advised that efforts are moving forward with the website upgrades. \$50,000 - \$60,000 one-time funds along with \$50,000 - \$60,000 equity funds are being set aside to upgrade the college's web presence.	

Submitted by Geni Lusk April 26, 2016 Next Meeting –May 3, 2016



SAC PLANNING & BUDGET MEETING

MINUTES – MAY 3, 2016 F-126 1:30p.m. – 3:00p.m.

Administrators		Academic Senate CLASSIFIED			GUE	STS		
Mike Collins, co-chair	Ray Hicks co-c	hair	Brian Sos	Omelina Garcia (a)	Esmeralda Abe	jar	Veronica Orforlea	
Bart Hoffman(a)	Elliott Jones(a)		John Zarske	Denise Hatakeyama(a)				
Jim Kennedy(a)	Monica Porter	•	George Wright(a)	Jimmy Nguyen				
Lilia Tanakeyowma	Student	Rep.		Leslie Wood-Rogers				
	John Olivares	a)						
1. WELCOME		Calf intro	ductions were made.			_	called to order 1:35p.m. adjourned - 3:07p.m.	
2. DUDUIC COMMATNITC						ACT	TONG / FOLLOW LIDE	
2. PUBLIC COMMENTS		DISCUSSIC	N/COMMENTS			ACI	TIONS/ FOLLOW UPS	
3. MINUTES			DN/COMMENTS				TIONS/ FOLLOW UPS	
			The March 1, 2016 Planning and Budget minutes were presented for approval.				The approval of the March 1, 2016 will be tabled to the April meeting due to a lack of quorum.	
		The April 12, 2016 Planning and Budget minutes were presented for approval.				The approval of the April 12,		
							2016 will be tabled to the June	
						meeting due to a lack of quorum.		
4. BUDGET UPDATE		DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS				ACT	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS	
reven o The proj colle sho to a pay o Apr they			s have fallen short of the egislative Analyst's Office ted to be 4.6% greater tions are on track to en all might be attributable eduction in withholding ents. ax collections are a key develop revised state renew revenue forecasts,	SCO) reports that April personal e Governor's January Budget force (LAO) reports that PIT collections of than collections in April 2015. How d up down about 2.5%. The LAO set to the drop in the stock market eng payments from paychecks, bor barometer for Department of Final evenue forecasts for the current of combined with an amended state the May Revision. Compared to M	for April 2016 were vever, current year peculates that the arlier this year and nuses, and option nce economists as year and 2016-17. spending plan, will			

BUDGET UPDATE(cont.)	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	last several years, this year's version will likely paint a more somber picture with the revenue shortfall signaling a slowdown for the broader economy and a reduced state spending plan for next year.	
	 A solid majority of likely voters are inclined to support extending Proposition 30 and a statewide school bond, according to the Public Policy Institute of California's (PPIC) latest poll. The PPIC poll, Californians and Education, released Thursday, April 21, 2016, found that 62% of likely voters, including 85% of likely Democrat voters and 62% of Independent voters, would support extending the income tax provisions of Proposition 30. With the sales tax increase expiring at the end of this calendar year and the income tax increase set to expire in 2018. The UN-COLA: April 28, 2016, the United States Department of Commerce (USDOC) 	
	released the quarterly value of the Implicit Price Deflator for State and Local Government for the first quarter of the 2016 calendar year, which provides the last piece needed to calculate the 2016-17 statutory COLA for K-14 education. With this information, the year-over-year percentage change in the value of the Deflator is 0.0029%, which rounds to a COLA of zero. The January Governor's Budget estimate of the statutory COLA for 2016-17, a lowly 0.47%, now appears almost robust when viewed from the vantage point of no COLA • May revise- May 13	
	DISTRICT	
	Employer PERS contribution is up to 13.888%, which means a higher level of employer contribution (11.84% in 15-16). • Piecing together the basic tentative budget at this point, taking into account the increases in costs for 16-17, all outlined in the DIST tent. budget assumptions outlined in this committee.	
	 Regarding revenue and FTES generation, the District is currently in stabilization, having not met (to this point) the level of FTES achieved in FY 14/15. If this trend holds, we may have to borrow FTES from our summer 16 offerings (booked before June) to meet FY 14/15 FTES numbers. We then, will have two years to get back to our growth targets. Many looming circumstances that might challenge this achievement. FRC evaluated the BAM- read updates. 	
	SAC	
	 Developing the Tentative Budget in accordance with our tentative budget assumptions as unanimously recommended by the P and B Committee. Tentative budget will be balanced back to adopted FY 15-16, for the most part, with increases in fixed personnel costs and operational costs. For adopted budget build, we will be analyzing match requirements for many of our Fund 12 restricted categorical programs. 	

	The budget office is also now working to bring all the RAR requests for the FY 16-17	
BUDGET UPDATE(cont.)	years together for reviewfor planning purposes. DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	 SAC Budget Office is estimating a strong ending balance for FY 15/16, between \$4-\$7 million, due to the significant increase one-time funds for FY 15/16, carryover from the FY 14/15 budget year, the new faculty allocation that was funded in FY 15/16 but will be spent in FY 16/17, electricity and benefit savings at the college level. It is much too early to really know how our ending balance will land as encumbrances are still tied up and spending occurring. This potential ending balance will be used to bridge the gap between our increasing expenditures and our possible decreasing revenue as outlined by the District's multi-year projections. 	
5. ENROLLMENT UPDATE	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	Spring 2016 – We are still tracking down in credit and non-credit as of now. Credit FTES down 2.9% (-257 FTES) over SP15 Non-Credit FTES down 7.7% (-187 FTES) over SP15	
	We currently projecting to be up with our positive attendance apportionment from the CJ and Fire programs which could close this gap by about half in the credit program.	
	Overall Year to Date — Owing to strong summer 2015 and spring 2015 intersession enrollment we nearly flat for the year. Credit FTES down 0.3% (-60 FTES) Non-Credit FTES down 0.7% (-35 FTES)	
	Moving forward, we need to be conscious about downward enrollment trends, and events that can significantly impact enrollment, like the change to the BOG Fee Waiver program. This means that we'll need to focus investment in our course schedules on sections where we know we will have strong enrollment. Further, we will have to be more purposeful about creating efficient schedules that serve student needs.	
	A discussion ensued regarding the importance of engaging all constituencies in the Enrollment Management discussions. It was noted that those efforts will be led by Vice President Lopez and will be forthcoming.	
6. STUDENT UPDATE	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	No update.	
7. SACTAC	It was reported that SACTAC has not met since the last meeting. The committee is will be working on the academic computing needs that were identified through the RARS process. In addition, SACTAC along with the college constituencies will be involved in the website re-design project.	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
8. MARKETING UPDATE	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	A discussion ensued regarding the need to update the college website.	,

	Funds have been allocated for the re-design through Equity and one-time funds.	
MARKETING UPDATE(cont.)	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	 A strong need for a website re-design has been identified. The website re-design will focus on: Student navigation Home page presence – focused on students Incorporate mobile response design Members were reminded that the marketing efforts drive students to our home page and improving the website experience is critical. 	
9. ACCREDITATION	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	The committee reviewed and discussed the following recommendation: "The college establish, implement and document a regular cycle of evaluation to include effectiveness of the planning processes, training, decision-making, communication, resource allocation and governance practices."	
	Effectiveness of the planning processes Institutional Effectiveness Survey is sent out college-wide.	
	Dr. Collins provided an outlined the components of the planning process noting the college-wide Educational Master Plan, and how the Strategic Plan and Budget relate. College-wide Educational Master Plan. Strategic Plan Budget Plan	
	When was the last time the Planning and Budget committee evaluated their role and interaction with the Strategic Plan? • What is the committee's interaction with the Strategic Plan?	
	 Piscussion ensued. RARs requests are tied directly to the Strategic Plan and to the dept./div. Program Review documents. The committee agreed that it would be helpful for the committee to review the Strategic Plan as part of their work for a better understanding the committee's tie to producing outcomes that are outlined in the Strategic Plan. 	
	Important to understand how the budget development process, budget performance and cash flow impact the Strategic Plan.	
	Planning should drive what our resource allocations are in Program Review. What do you need in your programs to help drive outcomes?	
	No "closing the loop" on the process, specifically once the needs are identified and resources are allocated there is no evaluation on how those resources impacted the outcomes. Members were advised that this is an area that needs improvement before the next cycle.	

Communication

- Monthly minutes posted on website.
- P&B reports at Senate meetings.
- Classified rep Summary to the E-Board, colleagues.
- Information shared at CSEA Meetings.
- Meeting invitation is sent college-wide every month with a link to the upcoming agenda.
- RARs close out.

Resource Allocation

The committee discussed how the resource allocation process is evaluated.

- Some division deans meet and discuss the final prioritization of the RARs with their chairs for their department.
 - o Information does not always filter down to the faculty or staff outside of the chairs.
- How does the prioritization work?
- Importance of deans discussing division commonalities with the division faculty and staff.
- How can the Planning and Budget committee integrate better with the TLC Teaching and Learning Committee and/or its functions?

As part of the evaluation of the RARs process, the committee discussed some options for gathering the information.

	<u>Focus Group</u>		<u>Survey</u>
•	Chairs	•	Chairs
•	Deans	•	Deans
		•	Reps/Governance members
		•	Classified – Managers, Directors

Overall, the importance of the membership being aware of the following was noted:

- How the budget aspect plays into the program review?
- How program review documents play into the RARs process.
- How the RARs are prioritized for Institutional priorities.

Governance Practices

- End of Year report
- Progress on committee goals

Dr. Collins reminded the members of the following areas of improvement and being deliberate in that work.

- Closing the loop.
- Keeping communication open.
- RARs close out communication.
 Getting the information discussed on the Resource Allocation in order to make improvements.

10. OLD BUSINESS	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	There was no old business to report.	
11. NEW BUSINESS	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
12. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS		
13. OTHER	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
The following discussion point as presented by the FRC BAM subcommittee will be discuss by the SAC Planning and Budget committee. • With the absence of the budget stabilization fund how can we fund FTEs stability? Stabilization Dr. Collins explained to the committee what it means should the college go into "stabilization." He noted that in order for the college to increase FTEs and control costs it is important to focus on how efficient the schedule is and how institutionally productive we are. He stressed the importance of committing resources in ways that produce outcomes, controlling expenditures and having a healthy contingency reserve.		

Submitted by Geni Lusk June 8, 2016 Next Meeting – *August 2, 2016 *if needed